State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-285	
Judge:		
Complainant:		

ORDER

May 25, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper legal rulings in a family court case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 25, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-285

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
In Judge made a decision in family court case in which he picked a school, in for a minor child, In his ruling, he stated that should be in a school halfway between his parents homes, the school should have a "gifted program" and he should be enrolled in the school beginning in Throughout this case, Judge did not hear any arguments or take any evidence on school choice. Nor did he hear any argument or take any evidence on the on the minor child's needs/interests in education or extracurricular activities. Despite having not having the necessary information to make such a big decision, Judge unilaterally picked s for the next of his life is incredibly intelligent, is interested and he has an expressed interest to Since Judge did not take any evidence on he didn't know any of this. Had Judge taken any evidence on or schools, he
would have known that doesn't offer any of those things, nor is it "gifted", nor did they have an available spot for him to start there in They do not offer practical electives like engineering, robotics, wood shop, cooking and others. These are all things was interested in taking in school, but can't participate in as a part of his education now, because of Judge ruling.
Also as a result of Judge ruling, had to forfeit his summer break, as required him to go to summer school. Again, had Judge taken evidence, he would have known this as well. had expressed multiple times that he doesn't want to do summer school, but he was stuck with it because of Judge ruling. It's not clear what Judge process was to arrive at this decision. He offered no details. A
comprehensive family evaluation report submitted to him determined that needed to be placed in a school that was gifted, and halfway between his parent's houses. Judge also mentioned this in his minute entry and agreed with the recommendation. He asked for a list of 2 school from each of his parents, and chose from that list. Nobody knows how or why he made his decision. Judge defied his own thoughts recommendation and orders because is not a gifted school. That was clearly covered during oral arguments, yet Judge paid it no mind. As mentioned before, he also ruled would start there in as his then current school was not in his best interest. had a vaiting list at the time, a fact that Judge was told during trial.
remain in a school that Judge and the comprehensive family evaluation both said was not in best interest., thereby rendering his ruling impossible to fulfil and nonsensical. Judge was arrogant and reckless in his poorly thought out decision to put in this school.
has now missed on his summer break, he won't be able to participate in as part of school ever again, nor will he be able to participate in the types of classes he wants to. How could a decision like this possibly be in his best interest? Judge was required by law to rule in the best interest of the child and he failed to do so. is going to be He has no say in the matter and just has to go along with the sad fact that somebody who doesn't care about him and knows nothing about him gets to make life altering decisions for him. This is not okay. is now in counseling as a part of all this litigation. He has expressed sadness to his counselor about being forced into summer school and
not being able to participate If the purpose of a judge in family court is to consider the best

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE		
Name:	Judge's Name:	
words what you names, dates, ti may be attached	Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mes, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional page all along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	
this decision, should not be a neither of His ruling is effe All his	hild and avoid future litigation, then Judge failed. Unfortunately, as a result of will be in this school for the next unless there is further litigation. Judges llowed to make decisions like this without taking any evidence. He opined in trial that parents had best interest in mind and criticized them both because of it. ectively saying because of that opinion, he is the only one who can pick a school for ruling did was create a bigger problem for that will require more litigation. That is	
There is nothing	oposite of what Judge claimed he was doing. If you was now that can be done about this nonsensical ruling, but I hope this commission can see	
what happened	here and institute measures to prevent judges from being able to do this to a child again.	