State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-286
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

May 25, 2023

The Complainant alleged biased and illegal rulings by a superior court commissioner hearing an order of protection.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 25, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-286

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name.	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own	
words what you believe the judge did that constitu	tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the	
names, dates, times, and places that will help th	ne commission understand your concerns. Additional	
pages may be attached along with copies (not originals of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for	s) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side your records.	
트리트	MONORE SET SENSE CONTINUES DE SENSE DE CONTROL DE SENSE DE CONTROL DE CONTRO	

Please see attachments, Original Complaint, Judicial Review Board Prior Order, Court of Appeals Ruling.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
COMPLAINT	Γ AGAINST A JUDGE
Name.	Judge's Name
words what you believe the judge did that con- names, dates, times, and places that will help the	the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own stitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the he commission understand your concerns. Additional pages of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of

Please see attachments, Original Complaint, Judicial Review Board Prior Order, Court of Appeals Ruling.

the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Complaint against Commissioner

by

As a voter, a long-time recently a VICTIM of crime as contained and the Police, I are complicate what's already been concerns.	ommunicated and sul m perplexed that Con	bstantiated by nmissioner	Graduate, and most would choose to as below highlight my
On at contesting an Order of Protection of heard by the same issuing Contries and Evidentiary Notificated by Commissioner The Commissioner.	n filed by my Daughte Commissioner,	Subsequer via email for '	
Commissioner began the proceeding on by giving the Plaintiff, (" ") significant time to re-evaluate the go-forward of/with the preceding and offered the opportunity to cancel the proceeding as it seemed originally like a good idea as the Plaintiff was charged with a felony in this very same case.			
This, in turn, predicated the conditions situation without fully allowing a opportunity to fully present my confidences the yet to be heard process the yet to be heard process judgemental was to follow and so	true well rounded nar ase for fair judgment ceedings. Behavior th	rrative be presented prior to be at was impartial, der	as I was not given eing allowed time to
has subsequently bee domestic disturbance at my resid Police by myself and ultir the same county.	dence. This criminal a	act was captured on	
I am formally filing a complaint a following proper protocols put in was an obvious bias favoring	place and Manipulati		It is noted that there

Let it be known that I was NOT the party charged with a crime. The Plaintiff " 'the county court systems for an Order of Protection all WHILE eluding police during a CRIMINAL investigation.

This behavior was not addressed by Commissioner therefore enabling a double standard. This omission of facts, and lack of opportunity for fair testimony from me allowed the court to be manipulated. The court did not fully utilize or provide an environment to support evidence presented by me.

Listed below is the evidence I submitted and prepared for the court. I don't believe I was give proper/fair opportunity to discuss and evaluate said evidence.

•	4Videos (3 without sound, 1 w	vith sound)		
	5	Police, Superior Court windown Order of Protection	against the plaintiff,	
	however, did not let me	flash drives, Commissione e present all 4 videos	er acknowledge	d this,
•	Video Content: one video showing the leave my residence.	Plaintiff charging me while	e being asked several	times to
	CONTEST: Commission	ot follow the requirements	ideo to be presented by for submitting video ex This evidence clearly the Plaintiff (vidence
Further	more, Commissioner	exhibited great difficulty re	viewing evidence via	
to myse a result deliver	proper protocol. Commissione elf asking to put her t, in an ex parte manner, the C her evidence ' 'dow Getting caught up in her po	phone on audio meeting sommissioner ordered afte ontown a week following the wer it seems the Commission	w partiality to so she could hear audio r the hearing that ne procedure, " sioner got lost in doing	and bias o only. As what is
	cilitation and oversight of this c h and integrity of evidence.	ase was poorly managed.	The hearing was/is at	odds with
	Police Report - On my behalf police report - On my behalf police report - on my behalf police report of the content of the court actions was asked at the court fully said she couldn't less taff.	Police harges against the Plaintif present this evidence to Co evidence, the same question be beginning of the hearing,	ff. ommissioner (I on in regard to pending , the Commissioner the	was cases or
•	I attempted to provide testimo	ny regarding the Police Re	eport mentioned	

- In a clearly dismissive manner, the Commissioner disregarded the testimony (oftentimes yelling at me threatening to end the hearing)
- had one piece of valid evidence, per the rules of the court; text messages.
- These text messages didn't offer anything derogatory, however, Commissioner never offered any commentary.

" I have the

In conclusion, I offered the following to Commissioner

Commentary from the	Court, the court I dealt with on my own Order of
Protection after the police—conclude	ed on the matter and investigation without cooperation from
as quoted below	
0 "	

transcript if the board would like to review

However, Commissioner upheld an order of protection somehow. The decision is very clearly biased and seems to have some prejudice factors to it, such as race and sex.

Approaching a case with little regard for integrity, allowing Me to be labeled as someone "

'is dangerous and irresponsible especially Pending fair evidence and testimony.

This has not been the findings of the Police, or the as the charges were not dropped.

I am deeply concerned that Commissioner is on the bench executing responsibilities privileged to a very specifically nominated elected official (' ').

In this case the Plaintiff (I, came to my home, charged the threshold of my residence, and violently refused to leave. She was subsequently charged with a crime by a law enforcement agency. How then, has she successfully got rewarded and enabled to be dishonest by a broken court system lacking integrity but allowing manipulation of rules and behavioral outburst? All under the supervision of a Commissioner entrusted to uphold the rule of law and integrity of the legal system??

I am confident that complaints exist with all Commissioners, however, this situation is a CASE STUDY of racism, abuse of power, enablement of manipulation court system thanks to Commissioner clearly being exempt from any kind of "

With little passion for equity, Commissioner took CLEAR pride in making biased decisions, thus allowing the judicial elected officials just look bad and ill-equipped.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.