

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-287

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 12, 2022

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made dishonest statements in a minute entry.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 12, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****2022 - 287****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ falsified the outcome of  
 There were \_\_\_\_\_ counts in this case.  
 \_\_\_\_\_ counts of a dog biting the Plaintiff on different dates.  
 \_\_\_\_\_ counterclaims of animal cruelty against the Plaintiff.  
 My dispute with Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is in regards to one of the counts of a dog biting the Plaintiff.

The jury verdict was in favor of the Plaintiff for:  
 The earlier of \_\_\_\_\_ dog bites.  
 Both counterclaims of animal cruelty.

The Minute Entry, I \_\_\_\_\_, signed by judge \_\_\_\_\_ is that all four counts were in favor of the Plaintiff. Although someone can file an appeal even if he won all counts, it is because of this false Minute Entry that the Plaintiff could not file an appeal within the time limit. The Plaintiff attempted to find a new attorney and every attorney who considered the case first looked at the Minute Entry and thought the Plaintiff "didn't know what he was talking about." Candidate attorneys do not go to the Court Reporter's document because in \_\_\_\_\_ County that cost hundreds of dollars to access-- \_\_\_\_\_ in this case. They go to the Minute Entries, which in this case are wrong. Had the jury found for the Plaintiff in both dog bite incidents it is probable that they would have awarded the full \_\_\_\_\_ asked for by the Plaintiff. Instead they awarded only \_\_\_\_\_ for the older dog bite incident--one so old it didn't even qualify for a financial judgment.

To clarify the background of this entire case with many other similar oddities, it helps to know that the Defendants are friends of \_\_\_\_\_. Many other improprieties occurred during this case including invention of new laws by an animal control officer, an animal control hearing before the report was entered into record and the victim was never invited, multiple perjuries in the civil trial, the sheriff's office overlooking death threats and aggravated assault, and other issues. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ adjustment of a jury verdict well fits the pattern. (Why did Judge \_\_\_\_\_ come out of retirement for this case?)

Note: This complaint focuses only on falsifying the Minute Entry.

I contacted Judge \_\_\_\_\_ office within days of the release of the Minute Entry and his office will not respond.

Attached is the Minute Entry, \_\_\_\_\_ and extracts from the official Court Transcript.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

--

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Page 3 is intentionally blank.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

)  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
vs. )  
)  
)  
)  
)  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL/DAY TWO

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE  
COURT JUDGE,  
  
ORIGINAL  
FOR COURT

1 APPEARANCES:

Attorney at Law

2

3

4 Mr.

Attorney at Law

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**