State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-291
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

March 30, 2023

The Complainant alleged poor demeanor and bias by a superior court judge during a sentencing hearing in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 30, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street. Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-291

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use the words what you belied names, dates, times, pages may be attached	is form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own we the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
escalation of the conf outburst by defendan a plea agreement (Se	failed to de-escalate a verbal outburst by challenged defendant during sentencing despite a well documented judicial history of ment # 1 item Competency Report) instead judge's own rapid rontation and her own injudicious behavior violated defendants rights. Every t was countered by a year sentence increase. signed see Attachment # 2) whereby he was supposed to be sentenced to years for all of the was sentenced to over years by an irate Judge
	in her witness statement accurately depicts the courtroom scene that the out of control. She had many options at her disposal rather than impose a because she was angry. I sat with incredulity at the Judges tit for tat interaction





Criminal Court Case Information - Case History

Case Information

Case Type:

Location

Party Information

Party Name - Number

Relationship Sex Attorney

Judge Case#

Disposition Information

Party Name

ARSCode Description Crime Date Disposition Code

Disposition

Date

Case Documents

Filino Date Description

Docket Date Filing Party

Defendant (2)
Defendant (2)
Defendant (2)
Defendant (2)
Defendant (2) Defendant (2) Defendant (2)
Dafendant (2)
Defendant (2)
Defendant (2) Defendant (2)
Defendant (2)
Defendant (2)
Defendant (2)
)efendant (2) >efendant (2)
Jefendant (2)
)efendant (2)
Defendant (2)

Defendant (2)

*

Plaintiff (1)

Defendant (2)

All of

Defendant (2)

V

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.