

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-295

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 2, 2023

The Complainant alleged illegal and biased rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 2, 2023.

Legal Brief,
Copy,

2022-295

COMP

Misconduct Board

VS

REFER CASE

Malicious Prosecution
Judicial Misconduct,
Illegally Enhancing Sentence, ON

I was sentenced by one Judge
For Manslaughter, claim was 1. 'Use of a'
Weapon, 2. wrecking family's life, 3. Use of 'Accomplice',
That's the illegal enhancement part of Honorable
Sentence, No Arrest warrant, You cannot
Legal enhance one's sentence by 2.5 yrs for use of
a Accomplice Unless an Accomplice was charged
& indicted, etc, etc, at time of sentencing, there was
not even a Arrest Warrant issued for the so called
mystery Accomplice, HONORABLE Judge Told me in
person final pretrial conference If you save Victims
Family a Blood & Gut's Trial we will give you a Deal
Aggravated Sen of 13 yrs presumptive 10.5 Was a
lie a Not A Deal, Now let's go to Part 2. Lawyer
Defense ATTORNEY, Decided not to tell
me before sentencing I would not be getting 10.5 on
the 10-16 plea he had prior knowledge of This.
I would have had a chance at 9 yrs was the
most Time would have received,

other factors Honorable Judge threw out, Victim
A Convicted Felon?? My Extensive Cooperation
with Law Enforcement Single handedly Solving famous
Murder Lawyer stated that probably wouldn't
help your Case and watched TV Show
so did Mitigation Specialist - SA. d cover
letter wouldn't

help either could have easily gotten one from them!
Now last but not least Actual Crime Killing
a person while he was robbing me, Absured, killed
in Commission of A Felony I was over
Age Absured,

Next 14th Admment states Equal Protection
- UNDER-LAW, Constitutional Rights Violated

Next My Criminal Record, Due 20yr Felony no
Dangerous,

Found I'm NOT A ATTORNEY

Please let me know if this isn't just case
Disbarment why?

contact at

Thank you any questions

PO BX

7

POLICE DEPARTMENT
Incident Report

Incident Number	GFS Incident #
Report Type Incident Report	Page 15 of 16
Date / Time Occurred	Date / Time Reported

HAPPENED AND HE SAID THE GUY WAS ON THE GROUND AND THEN HE RELATED HOW HE COULDN'T DEFEND HIMSELF. PAUSED FOR A MOMENT AND THEN SAID HE, (THE GUY), GOT CUT ON THE LEG.

WHILE TALKING FURTHER ABOUT HOW THE GUY GOT CUT ON THE LEG HE ADMITTED HE, (), HAD CUT HIM. HE FURTHER RELATED HE HAD THE KNIFE WHICH EARLIER HAD BEEN REMOVED FROM HIS BELT. I ASKED IF THE MAN WITH THE LONG HAIR HAD A KNIFE AND HE SAID NO.

I ASKED IF HE KNEW THE NAME OF THE MAN WITH THE LONG HAIR AND HE SAID HIS NAME WAS () BUT HE DIDN'T KNOW THE LAST NAME. HE PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING DESCRIPTION OF () ABOUT () POUNDS, LONG () HAIR. HE STATED () WAS WEARING () A T-SHIRT, AND A NEW PAIR OF () SHOES- NOT TENNIS SHOES.

WE TALKED FURTHER ABOUT THE NUMBER AND KINDS OF KNIVES () OWNED. HE DESCRIBED HAVING TWO () "KNIVES, THE () STYLE KNIFE ON HIS BELT IN A SHEATH, AND A LARGE () " STYLE KNIFE. WHEN I ASKED HIM WHERE THE KNIFE FROM HIS BELT ENDED UP AFTER THE INCIDENT HE SAID IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE PARKING LOT IN FRONT OF THE ()

AS WE TALKED FURTHER ABOUT WHAT HAD TAKEN PLACE HE RELATED HOW HE WAS STILL () " ABOUT WHAT HAD HAPPENED EARLIER AND HOW HE WASN'T GOING TO GIVE THE PHONE BACK. HE STATED NEITHER HE NOR () " EVER WENT INTO THE PARKING LOT. BUT THE GUY CAME BACK AND WAS IN THE PARKING LOT OF () BY THE SMALL WALL WHEN THE SHUFFLE HAPPENED.

WHETHER IT WAS BECAUSE THE GUY WANTED HIS PHONE BACK OR THEY, () AND (), WERE GOING TO DETAIN THE GUY- THE SHUFFLE HAPPENED AND () ENDED UP CUTTING THE GUY ON THE LEG WITH THE KNIFE THAT HAD BEEN ON HIS BELT. WHEN I SAID () " SAID, " () AND HE STATED () DID NOT HAVE A KNIFE AND WAS SORT OF A " () HE STATED HE HAD THE KNIFE IN HIS RIGHT HAND.

I RELATED THE TWO GUYS THAT HAD APPROACHED FROM () RELATED IT LOOKED LIKE YOU, (), HAD BEEN PUNCHING THE GUY ON THE GROUND () RELATED AGAIN ABOUT HIS HAND BEING MESSED UP. BUT HE DID RELATE BEING RIGHT HANDED AND HAVING THE KNIFE IN HIS HAND.

AFTER A SHORT BREAK IN THE INTERVIEW I EXPLAINED TO () THAT NOT ONLY HAD HE CUT THE GUY ON THE LEG BUT THAT HE HAD ALSO STABBED THE GUY IN THE SIDE. I FURTHER TOLD HIM THAT THE GUY WAS TAKEN TO () AND HAD DIED.

() STATED HE DID NOT REMEMBER STABBING THE GUY BUT ALSO SAID, " ()

PLEASE REFER TO THE AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING FOR FURTHER DETAILS.

A SEARCH WARRANT FOR () CLOTHES AND A BACKPACK WAS SERVED WHILE () WAS AT () BEFORE HE WAS BOOKED INTO THE () ON THE CHARGE OF SECOND DEGREE MURDER. PLEASE REFER TO ADDITIONAL SUPPLEMENTS FOR FURTHER DETAILS. AS OF THIS ORIGINAL REPORT THE SECOND SUSPECT, (), HAD NOT BEEN IDENTIFIED.

ON () ABOUT () HOURS I SPOKE WITH () AND ADVISED HER OF THE DEATH OF HER SON, () THE VICTIM OF THIS HOMICIDE. I PROVIDED HER WITH INFORMATION CONCERNING THE () MEDICAL EXAMINER'S OFFICE. () HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED EARLIER IN THE DAY BY HIS FINGERPRINTS.

THE ARREST OF () WILL REMAIN OPEN.

WILL CLEAR THIS INCIDENT, HOWEVER UNTIL ()

() IS IDENTIFIED THE CASE

old
Prison f