

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-301

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 2, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 2, 2023.

P.O. Box

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

1501 W. Washington St, Suite 229

Phoenix, AZ 85007

v

Allegation of Misconduct by Judge
Court of

Case Number

Dear Commission on Judicial Conduct:

This complaint is about the misconduct(s) of Judge
division of Court, on the
trial for above DUI case number.

The unlawful of court Jury Instruction which settled by
Judge and his court staff that more than half
of Jury were from law enforcement, and me, the def-
endant did not have any right to pick or reject any
of the jury. Unfortunately, more than half of the jury
were law enforcement while another law enforcement
officer was against me at this trial. The Judge was infor-
med about this issue but he did not comply with the law.

On MINUTE ENTRY on _____ written "
and counsel settle Preliminary Jury Instruction, while
neither of the attorneys did not pick or reject any of
the jury.

The other issue is that I've been promised by my counsel
to have an interpreter on my trial, which did not happen,
and at post-trial when I discussed it with Judge
he found my request as a tactic rather than a good faith
claim while not me nor my parents were born in _____
and I barely started to understand
and speak _____

The last issue with Judge _____ is that he was avoiding
the violation of the attorney of the _____ At the pretrial
on this matter my attorney and the attorney of the _____
have agreed that they will not mention the reliability of
the breathalyzer that involves with this case at the trial,
because the Quality Assurance Specialist, _____ for
the breathalyzer will be absent on the impending trial.
Obviously, the attorney of the _____ inte-
nationally or unintentionally has violated the settlement,
but Judge _____ did not cooperated with authority to
have the lawful decision at this mistrial.

The _____ couldn't demonstrate and prove
that I was the driver or who was the driver on this DUI
case. Seems the attorney of the _____ by an unlawful
jury which are more than half law enforcement, illegally
found me guilty.

Judge _____ kept avoiding all the issues
and violations of the attorney of the _____ at my trial.
I had only one DUI in _____ because of taking my
neighbor, _____ to the _____ hospital and
I have no any other, not before nor after, that DUI
with the law in whole my life.

I respectfully request this honorable commission to
have an investigation on this matter. Please review
all the details and inform me about your decision.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this _____ day of _____

Sincerely

/ / /