

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-313

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 2, 2023

The Complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge made improper rulings in a criminal case and failed to report attorney misconduct.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 2, 2023.

ADMINISTRATIVE Grievance Informal Complaint

CASE #

Vs.

Judge:

Div #

PROSECUTORIAL Misconduct - Liability

The BASIS FOR this Allegations Grounds for claims
Counsel for

Filed

Clerk

Court

And my ex-lawyer -

- INEFFECTIVE Assistance - Counsel

Facts. Legal MALE Practice claim - LAWSUIT

THE

Informal Complaint

Prosecutorial - Malicious Prosecution Claim investigation
inadmissible Evidence WAS allowed in COURT ROOM
self incriminating Statements by Defendant

in Testimony to Grand JURY seeking **INDICTMENT**

Detective

OF

Made

FALSE Statements under sworn OATH. He told
Lies from his Fellow officers FALSE Police Reports

Witness - Detective

OF

Give FALSE Description of Defendant

- Suspect

of what he was wearing the Night of his FALSE -
Arrest and UNLAWFUL Search and Seizure of
his Person and Personal Property and **NO -**
WARRANT used to obtained Evidence,
which is FOURTH Amendment Violated Rights

Obstruction of Justice, Claims

Malicious Prosecution Misconduct

Grounds ① MENTAL Disability Discrimination

② Exculpatory Evidence Duty of Disclosure, Brady Materials, Suppress Evidence Hearing

BURDENS of PROOF, PERJURY - Testimony to the Grand JURY Allowed by

Speculation insufficient Evidence, False witness led to Grand JURY to get a INDICTMENT in my case. a officers illegally Obtained Video-Footage of Video Room, with

NO WARRANT That's Suppress Evidence, OFFICER Misconduct And Prosecution Misconduct by

Allowing this FALSE Evidence to be used against defendant to Gain a INDICTMENT

THE Counsel is in MAJOR Legal Misconduct - Liability - Lawsuit and case dismiss against

Defendant The is in Violations of Tainted Tempering with Evidence - Violations Suspects Privacy Violations, Fourth Amendment Rights Violations Due Process violations, Clients

Reputation is DAMAGED FRAMED in Retaliation by the and office. Disability MENTAL Discrimination,

False Arrest, False imprisonment, CORRUPTION, Raist

RACIAL Profiling, excessive use of Force, office

committed - PERJURY Testimony to INDICTMENT

by Grand JURY Allowed it Misconduct

UNCONSTITUTIONAL act, UNethical judicial Misconduct

Request

Profile Photo:

Inmate Info

Audit Photo:

Form:

Request Info

Status:

Facility

Summary of Request:

Details of Request:

Bible Request:

DATE/TIME	USER	ACTION	DETAILS
	Redacted Staff Name	Staff Response	WE WILL SEND YOU THE FOLLOWING MATERIAL: POCKET NEW TESTAMENT, VL MAGAZINE, KIDS JOKES. WE DON'T HAVE THE DAILY BREAD AT THE MOMENT.
	Redacted Staff Name	Changed Status	From 'Open' to 'Closed'
		Submitted New	

FILED

COURT, COUNTY

HON.

CASE NO.

COURT REPORTER:

DATE:

Courtroom

Esq. for

Esq. counsel for

VS.

counsel for Defendant

Defendant

MINUTE ENTRY

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Defendant not present, in custody, presence waived.

Defense counsel informs the Court that a plea has been offered and new counsel will be assigned in this matter, therefore requests a continuance with time waived.

There being no objection from the State,

IT IS ORDERED that a Status Conference is set on at in Division

Time is excluded.

cc: Hon.

Esq.

Esq.

Pretrial Services

Deputy Clerk