State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-327
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

August 1, 2023

The complainant alleged biased treatment and improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a juvenile case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 1, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

case) and she concluded with "

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-327

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did names, dates, times, and places tha	paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the twill help the commission understand your concerns. Additional is (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side is complaint for your records.
against me when presiding over my de history of substance abuse although the abused my son. She speaks in a very never lets me finish my statement if I have cuts me off and ignores anything I have concerns/complaints I may have regar	shows a mercated and the obvious bias that Judge shows beyondency case. I feel she is bias against me because I have a here has never been an instance where I have EVER neglected or annoyed tone and blatantly violates the law in her rulings. She have something I would like to bring to her attention because she tried to say in court and is all around dismissive of me and any ding or the handling of my case. It is quite obvious if you ags for which I have listed the dates of specific incidents in my
trafficking on but my son we those crimes. Furthermore, I had my so my ex was arrested my son was in my dependency petition without ever the petition, the Investigator alleg our address in the petition but never at	was not a victim in his case and I had no clue he was committing on of the time up until my ex was arrested, and luckily when care. I cared for my son until got a judge to sign off on a coming to investigate my living situation with my son. In fact, in es she was unable to locate me and my son even though she has tempted to come to check up on us. Judge was not the ion but she has been the judge presiding over my case beginning re.
was aware that I had not been in conta stand-in attorney representing me durir because the petition indicated the hear called in about minutes after the hear with my substitute attorney minutes renowhere near enough time to go over the proceeded with Initial Dependency Hear	ersaw my Initial Dependency Hearing. At that hearing, the judge ct with my attorney yet in my case and furthermore, there was a not this telephonic hearing. I was a few minutes late to the hearing ing was in person and no one informed me otherwise so I finally ring was set to begin and I was allowed to disconnect and speak eally fast before we moved forward with the hearing, which was he details of the case so I briefly gave her a synopsis and we aring, wherein I requested a Temporary Custody evidentiary out-of-home dependency was appropriate for my case.
she wasn' t my actual attorney and I he entry for that hearing states we took an remember that being the case (even if v for an impromptu evidentiary hearing). I	oing to move forward with the evidentiary hearing that same day not prepared nor was my attorney prepared to represent me since ad minutes to bring her up to speed. In addition, the minute minute recess between the two hearings but I do not we did take a min recess that was not enough time to prepare During the hearing, there was no evidence that I was using drugs me of abuse or neglect but the judge still decided that it was in from my care.
After her ruling, I asked her how I abuse reply was that she was "	ed or neglected my son to make removing him necessary. Her " (despite no evidence of that being the

CONFIDENTIAL

part where I have evidence that

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE		
Name: Judge	's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same words what you believe the judge did that constitutes jud names, dates, times, and places that will help the commiss may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your reco	size to file a complaint. Describe in your own icial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the ion understand your concerns. Additional pages t court documents. Please complete one side of	
To be clear, substance abuse in and of itself is not a reason to Arizona state law, and also my attorney later informed me zero drug use going on in the home or by me period at the which I gave the Investigator evidence.	e of the same thing. But in reality, there was	
She clearly was going to rule against me no matter what washe didn't feel it was necessary to give me time to prepare	as presented at the hearing and that is why a with my attorney.	
The judge also allowed my son's paternal grandfather to laccused of abusing my son in the past and during our last off of the court property and had a restraining order and an year because of his behavior in court. There was also no or paternal grandfather being a party to the case because of h	case the judge ordered the grandfather order to not trespass on court property for a ne in the case who was in support of the	
During hearings after the grandfather was allowed to become interrupt the judge and ask her if he could make a statement but would allow him to finish his statement almost every time where the judge asked my ex, my son's father, his opinion hearings and allowed him to finish his thoughts as well. My sex trafficking case. I rarely spoke up or requested to speak instances where I needed to inform the judge of something finished speaking, was very rude in her tone as well as in the let me finish my statements while making me feel disrespective.	et, and not only would she allow him to speak e he spoke up. There were other occasions ns or if he had anything to add during ex is currently in jail awaiting trial for his child during hearings but there were a couple of and both times she cut me off before I e words she used to address me, and never	
One instance was during the hearing on for a second time to a third family member's home. I was a removing my son from the first placement's home and Team Decision Making meeting when things of that nature is living and how he is doing medically and emotionally. I brinformed about my son being moved that first time and befor interrupted me and insinuated that I have no right to be involved my son being removed. Not only did she treat me with serior important issue but it is my right according to the law that I and my rights are intact despite him not being in my care at I had already lost my rights to my son which is not the case, my ex and the grandfather and had no problem allowing the on with the hearing.	d I have the legal right to participate in the happen and be informed about where my son bught up to the judge that I was never re I could finish telling her my concern she lived in my son's care and belittled me for us disrespect and not hear me out about an important still involved in my son's medical care that time. Her insinuation made it sound like Earlier in that same hearing, she heard from	
On I had my attorney inform the judge that my attorney refused to file with the court the solid evidence a hair follicle test ordered for my then year-old child, amort		

falsified the hair follicle test

results and before I could finish my statement the judge cut me off and denied my request without even asking what I was talking about, evidence that falsified drug test results. She wasn't even listening to me clearly and just ruled against me in a voice that was condescending and aggressive with an attitude like she is annoyed just hearing my voice. That is how I am made to feel with the way she speaks to me.

my attorney filed a Rule 59 motion, which is my request to have my son Finally, in returned to me immediately upon the conclusion of an evidentiary hearing. Right after filing the Rule 59 motion, the paternal grandfather's attorney filed a motion to be placement for my son, in an attempt to battle me for physical custody of my child. The judge set my hearing for but decided to combine my Rule 59 hearing with the grandfather's hearing. My attorney objected but she refused to separate the two hearings. Having the two hearings together not only caused a lot of confusion in my motion but took the focus off my motion with everyone spending more time and giving more attention to testifying against the paternal grandfather becoming placement. The first day of the combined hearings was set for nours worth of time but it had to be continued multiple times when my Rule 59 motion would have been an easy hearing that would have concluded on that first day. We didn't get to finalize our positions and months after the initial hearing was have the judge make a ruling until scheduled. How was that appropriate to combine the mother's motion to get her child back with the grandfather asking to be placement for my son? It would have made more sense to deal with my motion and then if it was denied, the grandfather could have his hearing.

In addition, right in the middle of my testimony on the second day of our combined hearings, mentioned that they were considering my boyfriend as a safety monitor in order to potentially bring my son home, and because of that statement, the judge stopped the hearing that day and rescheduled it a couple of weeks out to give time to do their investigation into my boyfriend. No matter what the decision was that made, the judge was still required to handle my motion and caused even more of a delay. I really was given no hope that the judge was taking my case seriously whatsoever and feel she looks down on me for my struggles with drugs. She also has failed to follow the law on multiple occasions as well as the Code of Judicial Conduct and it has really caused me to feel defeated even before my case is changed to severance. Her attitude has embarrassed me during hearings and her disregard for my rights as my son's mother is disgraceful and disgusting.

If you want more information, feel free to contact me by email or phone, or mail. Thank you for looking into this matter. Of course, these hearings are all recorded so they can be reviewed with ease and you can see what I am talking about.