State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-338
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

June 8, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 8, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-338

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
Instruc	tions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own
words v	what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the
names.	dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional
pages m	nay be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side
	aper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached pages

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name.	Judge's Name:	ŧ <u></u>		

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached pages

COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W Washington, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007 602-452-3200 cjc@courts.az.gov

Thank you,

ŧ

Mother () will use some of the Motion she sent to Judge as well as add comments for the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct in order for the Commission to see the heinous Rulings of Judge as well as how his corrupt (or careless) judgements are putting innocent children in unsafe conditions: physically, mentally, emotionally and morally. Mother will put in bold and enlarge letters of key points but please do not dismiss the other wording or attachments/exhibits.

letter dated :o Dr regarding Mother also addresses father: " ' and the letter goes on that father is not in financial position and asks Dr to alter her assessment. (EXHIBIT G) Mother reminds Judge the large financial discrepancy between father and Mother still exists (EXHIBIT F in the previous reconsideration). As father continues to brag to others about paying off his house and taking flying to be assessed due to his lack of financial lessons, yet is now wanting his payments to Dr letter mentioned, yet to the court he states it as in the funds stated to Dr and the court's attention that Furthermore, Mother would like to bring to Judge and unfortunately diagnosed was admitted to (Mother will refrain from going into details or how this affects with has stated " well as emotionally etc: as "....but that is no reason to hold accusations and allegations against a chatty person legally in custody issues or ignore continued abuse.....) (EXHIBIT J) (Court has Mother has also spent an exponential amount of money in lawyers fees and evaluator fees and spousa repayment fees with ever surmounting interest (EXHIBIT L) per Judge Ruling. In addition Mother reminds Judge that pressed charges against Mother when the children were crying out for help " - calling it ragdoll - most recently) on her Mother found out these charges have been showing up (per Judge even though Mother is not the one background check for employment and they show as who has acted out in any domestic violent way and fingerprint clearance card was revoked (EXHIBIT F reconsideration) received in the Divorce settlement unjustly mentions the \$ Judge for lawyers, in his first Ruling as if Mother has not had to use it these past evaluators, to live on, auto maintenance, father not getting child support payments caused domino effect in getting into a new home etc etc job loss started by at first then unjust criminal charges. Mother humbly asks Judge to take due

this all into consideration not only for the financial in question for

but also the

pattern set by father's behavior, continuing (Judge does acknowledge how is this not significant later in court with a total of for

Also take into REconsideration how does domestic violence not affect the children or how can child abuse and be truly separated?) (EXHIBIT J)

a. Another point to bring to Judge

attention is that in Recusal to the court itself she states that the court said father did not abuse the children however

Mother implores the court-Judge to REconsider his own wording as well as not dismiss the signs of child abuse and also Mother asks Judge to take a look at his own bias that he mentions to

in court:

FIRST:

'ACTION REQUIRED' (EXHIBIT J) states "

Then a REPLY from

and father regarding their own Reconsideration

was allowed

guise" inadvertently overlooked) and yet

Court states

Ruling on Motion for Reconsideration

"....in which 2 different judicial officers found after lengthy hearings that the children were not abused by

Mother points out, however: Therapist

including but not limited

to '

") (EXHIBIT F),

ınd

all make mention of the children's fear and in

Report

does find Domestic Violence (Court has

and Decree) when children

are in the presence of father/ engaging with children.

***AZ Commission: Please see that Judge refuses father's
Reconsideration to reword the original working that the lawyers came up with,
yet then turns around and actually DOES reword the Ruling and then goes onto
to say no abuse has taken place even though children's therapist, a court
appointed as well as court appointed Psychologist

have all concurred (on their own expertise, not corroborating) there is abuse and/or the children are in fear of father.

Judge is haphazard in his ruling and even completely biased and has only gone by one psychologists' opinion: This person was paid by

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.