# State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-343
Judge:	
Complainant:	

### **ORDER**

June 8, 2023

The Complainant alleged bias, poor demeanor, and improper rulings by a small claims hearing officer.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 8, 2023.

## CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-343

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge names, dates, times, and places	lain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side f the complaint for your records.
paint on the car next to us and left hit us. They refused to cooperate s was, and took them to their insurance or get the money to We had a phone call from a clerk at the cour	at parking lot where there is assigned parking. We saw our a note asking for insurance information because it was clear that they so we found out which apartment they lived in, what their information Court in a case so that we could either get our car fixed by
were supposed to for the hearing. he seemed utterly shocked that we and it sarrived and were speaking with the his quarters prior to starting the see	prior to our hearing, but we had not been notified of any time when all the courts were cation indicating otherwise, we showed up 15 minutes earlier than we We spoke to the security guard at the entrance to the courthouse and e had a court date that day. He said no one had had an actual seemed strange that we were there. The defendants had already e judge, who we saw joking with and winking at them before he went to ession. My wife told me she had a bad feeling after seeing that, and I cortunately she was correct in her assumptions.
date was set to be heard by Judge rescheduled he was the only judge from his home in be known, saying this was his only with the defendents prior to starting We declined, as they had been ha	e was visibly agitated from the beginning. He said that our original court however and all the other cases being a available as Pro Tempore. He did not seem happy to have to come to to hear a single case he thought was frivolous, and he let that y case today. He offered us a chance, even encouraged us, to speak ag the session to see if we could settle it out of court and save him time. The same saing us and leaving messages on our car prior to the court date are summons, and we felt we were deserved some form of actual justice.
Statements were read, and the sp	ecial treatment the defendent was given became evident. Throughout

the defendent's statement, the Judge was silent and attentive. Throughout my statement, I was interrupted a lot, told my argument was invalid, and that my evidence was useless. My evidence consisted of GPS records showing we did not drive the car the day it was hit, police records documenting the damage and the officer's conversations with the defendent which established a pattern of dishonesty regarding the damage on her car, and timestamped photos of the damage to both cars showing that after they were served they attempted to remove the damage on their car. The defendant's evidence consisted of printed photos with random dates sharpied on them as a "timestamp" and nothing else.

While I was presenting the evidence I collected to the Judge, he made it known that without any video or eyewitness account of her hitting my vehicle, i could not meet the burden of proof. I disagree with this assessment, and I believe it was clear from my evidence and even the defendant's that there was more than a 50% chance that they hit my vehicle. Requiring an eyewitness or video account is more like the standard used in criminal cases for burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
1					
l					
L					

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form of words what you believe the jud names, dates, times, and places may be attached along with cop	r plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. dge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be speci s that will help the commission understand your conce pies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Pleas of the complaint for your records.	ific and list all of the erns. Additional pages
" evidentiary standard n than 50% in our favor, and beca	was too bothered with the inconvenience of that he did not take it seriously, and he dandard to evidence analysis in cases, instead ahormally applied in criminal cases. The evidence was ause Judge did not apply the proper standage had a judgement rendered against us when it shoulendant.	lid not apply the " ering to the " absolutely more ird of evidence
attitude toward the defendent p was planned and predetermine this court date was retained against us for not showing up. my court date and a clerk had to coincidents around this case, in	lence to claim this, but I think it is worth noting: Due to prior to his starting the session, it may even be possibled prior to our arrival, due to some familial connection in an attempt to render a description of the call me personally. There are just too many strange including likely administrative poking into possible misconduct.	le that this verdict perhaps, and that efault judgement the court regarding

Thank you for taking the time to read this statement and consider my complaint against Judge