

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-351

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

June 15, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge pro tem illegally detained him and discriminated against him in his criminal cases.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 15, 2023.

1 DEAR CHAIRMAN of THE Commission  
 2 on Judicial Conduct, I hereby file  
 3 my Complaint Against The following  
 4 PERSONS of interest who ARE individually  
 5 involved in the deprivation of my  
 6 Constitutional Rights As A Prominent  
 7 Citizen of

8 Hon. Judge

- (Discrimination)

9 Hon. Judge

(Discrimination)

10 Hon. Judge

(Discrimination)

11 Hon. Judge

(Discrimination)

12 (Prosecution / Discrimination)

13 Attorney

- Delays Denial

14 of Rule 6, 7 AND 8 Criminal Rules Discrimination

15 Sheriff

Kidnapping - Discrimination

16 I've Been in This Jail A Year AND A Half - NO

17 evidence Per Det.

First Disclosure 7-8 yrs.

18 ON

I Contracted

in

19 This Jail Now my life is Under imminent

20 Danger of serious physical injury."

21 Kidney failure with Severe Heart failure

22 I Am:

ON

23 for Life for Severe

Social

24 Security Can Verify. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

25 I Am At Risk of DEATH.

26 "Extraordinary AND Compelling" reasons

27 exists if: (A) medical condition (i) The

28 Complainant is suffering from a

1  
2 And (i.e. A Serious 'And  
3 advanced illness with end of life  
4 trajectory." Death Because of  
5 Discrimination Violations of 1<sup>st</sup> 5<sup>th</sup> 8<sup>th</sup>  
6 11<sup>th</sup> 14<sup>th</sup> And 15<sup>th</sup> Amendments of The  
7 U.S. Constitution. I Am A Pretrial  
8 detainee, Not A Prisoner But I Am  
9 Treated As A Guilty Person, Many  
10 Hearings had AND NO Attendance By  
11 me yet they were in my Name, Why?  
12 Assumpsit Could lie Against A STATE  
13 Jurisdiction, Subject matter.

14 Chisholm V. Georgia, 2 U.S. 419  
15 1 L.Ed. 440 1793 U.S. lexis 249  
16 All men ought to obtain Justice.  
17 Since in The estimation of Justice,  
18 all men Are equal. The Commission  
19 Has Jurisdiction To Hear my Complaint  
20 42 U.S.C.S. § 1975 d.

21 Please Confer With me A.S.A.P.  
22 My life depends on it.  
23

24 God Bless you,  
25  
26  
27  
28

U U

Should you Need The Case #  
Conflict of interest

(1) Attorney 2 cases  
Same New Judge Discrimination  
AND Conflict of interest.

ON, I WAS Arrested  
By Docket #

for Arson By - officer  
Arresting, The After Released to pre-  
Trial Services on At

- I WAS Re Arrested By  
Police for Same Arson  
Charge AND Kidnapped ever Since  
it Seems The Courts Are still

Trying To find A Charge To Justify  
The year & one half I've BEEN in  
Custody. There's No Justification  
for

Does The Constitution  
Protect from Discrimination?  
When My Life is put in danger of  
Death.