

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-361

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

**2022-361****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This goes to the matter of Case No. -  
I perjury committed against defendant  
to secure an Indictment. I have informed  
Judge through motion to change  
Counsel submitted on through  
as to this is why the  
defendant has had to obtain different State  
lawyers and different state prosecutors for  
fact of prosecutor and witness's engage in  
perjury before the Grand Jury for the purpose  
of securing an Indictment or where other  
substantial misconduct occurred. This matter  
has been avoided and has yet to reach trial  
and through the supervisory powers of the Court  
the matter may be dismissed. Judicial Conduct  
Rule 81, Rule 2.5. Competence, Diligence, and  
Cooperation, (A) A judge shall perform judicial  
and administrative duties competently, diligently,  
and promptly, as this matter has been over looked  
and is a violation of defendants constitutional  
rights, violation of statutory Provision, Due Process,  
as the defendant demonstrates through attached  
documents. Rule 2.2. Impartiality and Fairness,  
A Judge shall uphold and apply the law, and shall  
perform all duties of judicial office fairly and impartially.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

--

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This matter has been over years and defendant has withheld this evidence due to being apprehensive of Judge because of such rulings he has cast against defendant to already prejudice and allow defendant to not have a fair trial.

Defendant demonstrates through attached documents where judicial conduct should suffice. There it demonstrates the judicial misconduct and/or perjury committed by the state prosecutor and officer as witness to secure an Indictment. Judge has done nothing to stop these matters from occurring and upholding the defendants rights.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

1. Have defendant as a violation of his 4th amendment constitutional  
 2 right not to be seized without probable cause.  
 3 2. Have defendant as a violation of his 5th amendment constitutional right not to be held to answer to serious offenses without  
 4 an indictment.  
 5 3. Have defendant as a violation of his 6th amendment constitutional right of not showing a nature and cause to support an indictment or element of etc.  
 6 4. Have defendant - as a violation of his 8th amendment constitutional right of being held non-bailable for an insufficient indictment which inflicts cruel or unusual punishment on defendant by seizure.

Defendant has produced sufficient evidence to state lawyer and previous counsel and is Honorable Judge , on the matters that defendant is being maliciously without probable cause to answer in case no. and case no. without bond for perjured and false testimony at Grand Jury Proceeding held against defendant . This matter has been over years and has had different state prosecutors who have all changed and have not wanted to pursue the case no. and have avoided the case. I have informed counsel as well as Judge of the issue at hand and defendant is apprehensive of Judge because of such rulings he has cast against

1 defendant to already prejudice and allow defendant  
2 to not have a fair trial. Defendant has withheld  
3 this information because defendant is apprehensive  
4 of Judge and of his Judicial  
5 Conduct toward defendant. I, defendant am  
6 being held on an insufficient indictment which  
7 inflicts cruel and unusual punishment to not  
8 have life and liberty of the constitutional  
9 rights. By advising Judge and State  
10 attorney, former and current of this issue will  
11 result in a mistrial or reversal of a conviction  
12 for knowing use of false testimony under a  
13 Due Process Clause, Ineffective Assistance of  
14 Counsel, and prosecutorial misconduct of the  
15 State prosecution.

16 On , defendant was indicted  
17 for 1 count misconducting involving weapons, 1 count  
18 Aggravated Assault, and 1 count Disorderly Conduct,  
19 all dangerous. of the State of

20 Arizona and Officer , + , of  
21 , considered together to  
22 have a grand jury indict

23 on all counts. In the police report  
24 of case no. , the original officer  
25 narrative written or typed by Officer ,  
26 of his findings and advancement of what occurred  
27 from Detective , of .

1 Department on Page \_\_\_\_\_ of the police Report paragraphs  
2 and Detective \_\_\_\_\_ advised officer \_\_\_\_\_  
3 that Defendant \_\_\_\_\_ allegedly had \_\_\_\_\_  
4 a firearm and put the firearm" \_\_\_\_\_  
5 "paragraph \_\_\_\_\_ of page \_\_\_\_\_ original officer \_\_\_\_\_  
6 narrative GO#TE \_\_\_\_\_ This incident transpired \_\_\_\_\_  
7 on \_\_\_\_\_ Defendant is indicted on \_\_\_\_\_  
8 , so the Grand from \_\_\_\_\_  
9 and officer \_\_\_\_\_ , that officers \_\_\_\_\_  
10 " \_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_  
11 The defendant was stopped next to and the only \_\_\_\_\_  
12 one in the vicinity of that vehicle allegedly. This \_\_\_\_\_  
13 incident occurred on \_\_\_\_\_ on a \_\_\_\_\_  
14 night, so there's a lot of people out. The state purposely \_\_\_\_\_  
15 fired a firearm and a vehicle to defendant because \_\_\_\_\_  
16 defendant did not have control of the firearm \_\_\_\_\_  
17 at hand and with a lot of being in the parking \_\_\_\_\_  
18 lot that night any one could have put the fire- \_\_\_\_\_  
19 arm under the vehicle, so state \_\_\_\_\_  
20 and officer \_\_\_\_\_ perjured false testimony \_\_\_\_\_  
21 to have defendant insufficiently indicted for a \_\_\_\_\_  
22 malicious prosecution and a violation of his 4th, \_\_\_\_\_  
23 5th, 6th, and 8th constitutional right guaranteed \_\_\_\_\_  
24 to defendant by the constitution. For fact \_\_\_\_\_  
25 check of Grand Jury Indictment are, Page \_\_\_\_\_  
26 (lines \_\_\_\_\_ ) to Page (line) where state \_\_\_\_\_  
27 and officer \_\_\_\_\_ , Kr. Whiggy \_\_\_\_\_

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**