

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-364

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 1, 2023

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace made biased comments and violated medical privacy laws and the Americans with Disabilities Act when hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 1, 2023.

AZ Commission on Judicial Conduct

Subject : Complaint against _____ of
Court _____

Case with _____ CJC /

At a hearing held on _____ Judge _____ made a comment regarding the defendant _____ absence from the hearing, " It looks like she's playing games", a formulated biased and partial opinion he ruthlessly made which was overheard by court watchers. _____ was deceased at the time _____ made his biased and subjective comment before the court which was the reason she wasn't present at her hearing held _____

Previously , _____ had never missed a court date, but only one occasion when her attorney had a family death (_____), as this was documented as her counsel filed documentation and a motion representing such emergency the public defender had. This is all on court record as her warrant was then quashed on _____ .

At the last hearing _____ he further moved forward to allow the other party's prosecutor full access to an _____ packet that included related documents which were sensitive medical related information pertaining to _____ , all provided to him prior in _____ by her advocate and was written on there for the Judge only, _____ as it's protected. _____ commented that " _____ is playing games , " due to her failure to appear at the last hearing _____ , which reveals the tongue of a biased and incompetent Judicial Officer who already made a predetermination and formulated bias before any trial set regarding _____ . His formulated biased opinion is on the record and audio of that hearing held on _____

_____ was previously administered _____ packets repeatedly since the first hearing (even acknowledged on record " I see a packet here that I am not going to open") , which he elected to ignore at the first hearing on _____

A packet titled “ for ”, but elected to ignore this altogether only for it to be resubmitted multiple times by Advocate, on and her contact is (

has no issues violating federal laws , , and has no issues mishandling medical sensitive documents divulging them to members of the public in violation of federal law and revealed his transparent partiality towards the other party. He also failed to acknowledge the packet written and)”, but once again had no issues sending it to the prosecutor and the other party. The mere fact he issued a warrant on reflects his failure to acknowledge any hand delivered documents in a yellow folder by advocate which said “ and protected for , as this does not constitute ex parte communication and was addressed to him, not a prosecutor and not the alleged “victim”.

As an employee of a , he purposely rejected the packet, but decided instead to disclose this packet to the prosecutor as though it's in her discretion to grant accommodations for a party under federal law. This judge is the one **who plays games** to any educated audience.

Further, I question this man's mental health assigning **the same public defender and over and over, whom had previously already withdrawn from the case as it goes against all rights to a speedy trial. He reassigned an attorney by the name of and another attorney , both times, whom had previously withdrawn from the case as records reveal on the docket .**

I also question his knowledge on the law as this case was previously dismissed, as had active against the victim which was disclosed as exhibits for this case since (with both her daughter and herself as protected parties) , as there is no reason for it to drag close to years and deliberately delay matters for a “custodial interference” misdemeanor charge all with active protection orders issued by Hon. Judge with both her daughter and herself as protected parties, and being the custodial parent.

This is a representation of someone who recklessly failed to act in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety or the appearance of impropriety. Further, his failure to oblige by federal laws including speaks lawlessness. comments, "It looks like she's playing games", when the reality is, is the one "playing games", reassigning the same counsel over and over and deliberately ignoring packets addressed to , not but given his lack of incompetency to manage any courtroom and deliberate failure to uphold federal law and further violate federal laws divulging sensitive medical information to a prosecutor regarding this party having advanced cancer metastasis.

This can be confirmed on court audio as it was done deliberately and maliciously by him since it corresponds well to his subjective and biased comments which were inappropriately articulated by him on the court audio. Preventing a defendant from a "speedy trial" by reassigning previously withdrawn counsel over and over is the true definition of "playing games," to an educated audience in violation of the constitution . Further, when a packet is titled " , with the case number and the defendant's name on it and addressed to him, then this would mean the party is . However, has been revealed as truly the one "**playing games**", by ignoring federal laws and accommodations towards litigants who are disabled.

case was mishandled by as it should've been dismissed a while back for all his failures to respond to motions and he should've recused himself since his own was one of the prosecutors on her previously dismissed case by Hon. Judge with the Court regarding the same charges. When conflict exists and you have your as () involved on previous case, any ethical Judge would've recused themselves, but this confirms his lack of ethics.

All of the previous Judge's acknowledged is and received the same identical packet (Judge Judge , and set up a hearing or made the accommodations , all but of the court , This confirms he behaves unilaterally in violation of federal laws. Due to his failure and blatant reckless disregard to acknowledge such a packet, but instead provided it to the prosecutor

and the alleged "victim", proves he is unlawful, but behaved with malicious intent and instead issued a warrant on _____ for her failure to appear , when she was deceased. This corroborates the fact that he instead elected to ignore this _____ folder altogether , but had no issues sending _____ to her house to harass her grieving parents regarding a case which any ethical and lawful judge would've dismissed over a back as she had active protection orders with both her and her child as the protected parties, was a victim of _____ , and her being the custodial parent, making her misdemeanor for her custodial interference charge moot.

_____ is a well-known writer quoted globally and every minute of the day in articles, books, and media, as she would always be known for her words of wisdom.

On the other hand, the only thing publicly known about _____ is cussing and threatening a man using profanity which reveals his character in full whether in or outside the courtroom . His behavior is ruthless, inappropriate, unlawful, and reflects his lack of Candor. We come to realize who is "playing games", when you issue a warrant on someone who is deceased due to your malicious and deliberate failure to abide by state and federal laws and recognize _____ packets, but instead deliberately refused to acknowledge them , and further proof , failed to even open them! What ceases to amaze me is _____ is licensed to practice in courts, when he lacks principle on basic Federal laws.

This will be copied to the _____ for his deliberate _____ violation due to him representing the courthouse and failing to abide by both state and federal laws while blatantly ignoring _____ laws and ruthlessly divulging sensitive medical related documentation to the prosecutor and victim.

STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS require that government programs be accessible to persons with disabilities (RCW 49.60.010 et seq.; Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et. seq.(ADA)).

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) is a federal law that required the creation of national standards to protect **sensitive patient health information from being disclosed without the patient's consent or knowledge.**

