

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-367

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 22, 2022

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace pro tem used an improper signature on a court order.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 22, 2022.

Attachments: [Screenshot_20220923-122404~3.png](#)
[Screenshot_20220923-122201~3.png](#)
[Screenshot_20221004-191055~3.png](#)

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I was writing to file a complaint against Judge [redacted] I originally had court set up with him and he must have been on vacation or out of the office so they set me up a court date with the [redacted] I came to court out of [redacted] which is a [redacted] mile round trip. I got to the courthouse early and was sitting with my son in the lobby. I was talking with my son and Mr. [redacted] approached the glass window and told me I know your time for court is at [redacted] but Officer [redacted] is probably running late so to be fair we are going to give him till [redacted] to get here and I said ok then he looked at me and said what do you say to that and just joking I said it would be better if it was [redacted] then he became kinda upset and threatened me by saying how about I keep you here till [redacted] at which point I didn't talk anymore. I don't know why he had to threaten me with holding me there till the officer showed up.

The other thing I was writing about is if I was there to see the [redacted] because that's who signed my order to appear in court I don't understand why I was going to have to go in front of Judge [redacted]

I don't understand how a pro temp judge can sign her name on orders that have the Judge's name typed on the order shouldn't she have her own set of pre written out orders so she don't have to sign where he would've originally put his signature? I looked her up and could not find her name anywhere because on the paperwork she just put [redacted]. Shouldn't she have to write her full name [redacted] on all her official paperwork? Below is an administrative order that's an official document and she is just chopping her name down and not using her whole name. On one paper she goes by [redacted] and on the other she goes by [redacted] so I looked her up and her real name is [redacted]. What is her real name only God knows. If you could get back to me on what you think of this how I was getting handed back and forth between the two and how her name is not her proper name on these forms. Thanks

This is her other alias

IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPOINTMENT OF A

)
) ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER
)
)
) No.

Pursuant to provisions of A.F. , as amended;

IT IS ORDERED that be and hereby is appointed
for All County, for the term commencing
and ending

shall have the judicial powers and duties of a duly elected
pursuant to A.R.S. §22-121(C).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that shall be paid as part of her
current court salary.

DATED at , Arizona, ____ day of

Original: Filed with

Copy:

) { } Other

Lives in

, Arizona

People /

/ AZ /

I believe this should be her
real name if she didn't just
put half of a name as well

IN THE COURT
COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Defendant.

CASE NO:

ORDER SETTING
CIVIL TRAFFIC HEARING

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED setting this matter for a civil traffic hearing on
Defendant must personally appear at Court
, Arizona.

Pursuant to Rule 11 (a) and (b) of the Rules of Civil Traffic you have the right to hire an attorney to represent you in this matter. Your right to be represented by counsel at the hearing is waived unless the court and the State are notified in writing at least (10) calendar days prior to the hearing date. Absent extraordinary circumstances, failure to timely notify the court and the State constitutes a waiver of the right to counsel at the hearing.

Defendant is advised that failure to appear for the hearing at the date and time set forth above, will result in the defendant's loss of the right to defend against the charge, the hearing will proceed in the defendant's absence, and a civil sanction may be imposed. Failure to pay the sanction imposed will result in the suspension of your driver's license and additional monetary penalties.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this ____ day of .

Copy of the foregoing
emailed this ____ day of
to:

Trooper

Defendant

By:

This is where the pro
tem judge signed on
Judge
paperwork with half her
name