

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-370

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 15, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 15, 2023.

Comp

2022-370

To: Arizona Judicial Commission

Re: Formal Complaint against
B. Judge Hon. 1

Dear Commission;

I am writing in hopes of stopping a ongoing open and pervasive manifest injustice, and the trampling of a human-being's civil and constitutional rights.

First, I will not deny I have a past history dealing with addiction and criminal behavior, but that was 9 states away, and almost ago, but then that should have nothing to do with now.

I was arrested for crimes involving incidents at my former place of employment on

There are ALOT of extenuating circumstances involved in my arrest; illegal police interrogation tactics, lies, misrepresentations, and eventually PD has gone onto Tamper with evidence.

However, none of this has bearing on Judge conduct from an very 1st meeting, to now.

1. First Judge orders a Tones Hearing, after the Assignment Judge (Hon. Judge) and a Lawyer both denied

me my right to a FAST & SPEEDY TRIAL. Plus, both Judge

Judge → ignored the prior Judges ruling for Oral Arguments on the Conditions of Release in my case, because I suffer from threatening diseases (

and a) Judge denies me a

totally against Arizona §13-3967 Release on bailable offenses. --

The 1st main fact to show the Court abused its discretion, "throw-out the entire Probable Cause Narrative if looks ANY evidence to support crime why I am sitting in jail. What victim (especially a multi-billion \$ Co.) waits three ½ (3 ½) days before calling the Police to report a crime, and further continuously lies to the police?

2. Next, Judge replaces day with which is a waste creating

a conflict of interest, and sets my trial for

3. Judge ^(w) allegedly denies several request for Alternative Defense C. under Ariz C.P. 6-5 ^(c) and for a Investigator 6-7, saying its up to the Public Defender to do so. Due to a conflict of interests, and growing irreconcilable differences between ^{and myself - other} private counsel is the only option to receive a fair trial.

4. Judge ^(w), summarily dismisses a Habeas Corpus I Filed, with the ^(w), directed to Sheriff ^{(e) Pros.} on ^(w), without allowing for the proper service or response to a Writ, in violation of Ariz Const. Art II sec. 14 art. 26 Habeas Corpus (Sec. to Sec.) denying the process.

5. the Dep. Pros. w/ Judge ^(w) Courtroom (i) sends my counsel ^(w), an email, discussing the PD "a Body Cam video (; of an Interview between me & them, but pros as to say - " "I have a Constitutional Right to a Jury Trial, and I have ^{so IDIOT means}" "and extremely humiliating and unethical!" unprofessional. I ^{FILED} a Motion, Memorandum, and Affidavit of Truth ^(The Prosecutor openly retaliates by filing to AGGRAVATE my charges, the next day because of my complaint) The Hearing ^{to the Court. We have my 6th Motion for New Counsel, where} is admitted to sending the email in open court, also my attorney after I complained to the Ariz Bar, Filed for Disciplinary due to the ^(w) Holding evidence; and Judge ^{refuses to} honor his Judicial Canon 2-15 and report, ^U ^{opinion} or do anything about the Attorney Misconduct. He always the to Testimone over my rights repeatedly, and practices law from the Bench, favoring the ^{AGAINST A INNOCENT} Man.

6. state the above is true & correct to the best of my knowledge under penalties of perjury

Accused