State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-371
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged improper rulings by a superior court judge hearing his criminal cases.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Scott C. Silva, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

2022-371

Before The Residing Disciplinary Judge	ſ
(The Attorney Disciplinary Probable Cause	
Connittee: Chief Bar Coursel)	
Committee) Chief St.	
To The Matter Of A Non-Member Motion 54(6)	
OF THE STATE DIE OF THE STATE	
Court in the	
State Of Arizona Hos Navige	
, , , ,	
Judicial violation County Hon. case not	
1) Violation of Canon R. I. Compliance with the law	
2) Rule 2.3 Canon prejudice violation	
3) Caron R. 25 Competence violation	
4) Violation 14th Amendment due process of law equal protection	
0 /	
5.) Caron 3 violation A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the	
Office Fairly Impartially and Diligently he has not	
in my case:	
	Before The Kesiding Disciplinary Judge (The Attorney Disciplinary Probable Cause Committee; Chief Bar Counsel) In the Matter Of A Man Nember Motion 54(b) Of The State Bar Of Arrown Cart in the State Of Arizona Hon Judge , Judicial violation County Hon. , , case met 1) Violation of Conon R. I. I Compliance with the law 2) Rule 2.3 Caron prejudice violation 3.) Caron B. 25 Competence violation 4.) Violation 14 th Amendment die process of law equal protection of law 5.) Caron 3 violation A Judge Should before the Duties of the Office tairly, Impartially and Diligently he has not in my case.