

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-379

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

June 28, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge tampered with a public record while considering a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Delia R. Neal, and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 28, 2023.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-379

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

1. How, \_\_\_\_\_, VIOLATED CANON 1, RULE 1.1,  
NOT COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW. BY TAMPERING WITH THE  
ORIGINAL EXHIBIT KNOWN AS EXHIBIT 22 WHICH IS THE ORIGINAL  
EVIDENCE OF \_\_\_\_\_ ARREST. AS WELL AS RULE 1.2 FAILED  
TO PROMOTE CONFIDENCE IN THE JUDICIARY.
2. How, \_\_\_\_\_, VIOLATED CANON 2, FAILED TO PROMOTE  
~~CONFIDENCE IN THE JUDICIARY - BUT~~ TO BE IMPARTIAL AND  
FAIRNESS TO OPPOSING PARTY. RULE 2.2.
3. ~~How, John R. DITSWORTH, VIOLATED~~
3. How, \_\_\_\_\_, VIOLATED RULE 2.3(A) BY BEING  
BIAS, PREJUDICE TO DEFENDANT \_\_\_\_\_, THROUGHOUT  
ALL COURT PROCEEDINGS WHILE IN HIS COURTROOM. FROM THE TRIAL  
BEGINNING TO SENTENCING.
4. How, \_\_\_\_\_, VIOLATED THE CODE OF JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT - BY WILLFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE - TAMPERING WITH  
PUBLIC RECORD, A.R.S. § 13-2407. (A)(3)(5)(B)(C); § 13-2311. (A) WHILE  
UNDER "OATH OF OFFICE" - PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 38-231. (E); ARIZ. CONST. ART.  
VI, SEC. (26); U.S. CONST. ARTICLE VI WHERE HOW,  
\_\_\_\_\_, IS BOUND BY OATH, TO SUPPORT THE CONSTITUTION.  
THIS FALLS UNDER FALSE CLAIM ACT TITLE 18 U.S.C. § 286-287  
TITLE 31 U.S.C. § 3729 & 3733

1 CC:

2 CERTIFICATE of PROOF

3  
4 I CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL WAS MAILED  
5 ON \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_ TO THE  
6 COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT - ARIZONA  
7 STATE COURTS BUILDING 1501 W. WASHINGTON  
8 STREET, SUITE 229 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007  
9  
10  
11  
12

12  "to per"