

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-382

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge allowed an attorney to make false claims and discriminatory comments in a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

---

-----Original Message-----

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Complaint against Judge

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Regarding: Judge \_\_\_\_\_ & \_\_\_\_\_ Lawyers involved:

Based on "INFORMATION and BELIEF" from \_\_\_\_\_ :

My girlfriend and I were accused of physical and sexual abuse and neglect. This includes a claim that I assaulted my own daughter. This includes a claim that I refused to feed my own daughter. This includes a claim that my daughter was confined to live in a very small space. This includes a claim that I lured my daughter into my bedroom to watch my girlfriend sleep naked.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ ALLOWED all of these claims from \_\_\_\_\_, yet stated there was ZERO evidence to prove that any of it was factual. If none of it is based on fact, then by definition it was based on LIES.

I asked my lawyer, \_\_\_\_\_, how it's possible that false claims can be made by \_\_\_\_\_ without any repercussions. He responded quite honestly that she can say anything she wants if she writes that it's based on "information and belief." He also warned me that when verbal abuse claims don't convince Judge \_\_\_\_\_, she'll upgrade to physical abuse. And when that doesn't work, she will accuse you of sexual abuse. And she'll get away with it.

He was 100% right! That's exactly what she did. And that's exactly what Judge \_\_\_\_\_ did.

In fact, the entire claim was thrown out and I was left to pay \_\_\_\_\_ legal fees because I make more \$ than her client. This was Judge \_\_\_\_\_ form of justice.

Not only did I pay for her fraudulent/false claims, but \_\_\_\_\_ also stated to the court in writing that I was trying to \_\_\_\_\_ from her client, which as a \_\_\_\_\_, I take offense to. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ allows this behavior. I'm not sure how the court would have responded to similar claims about other races or ethnicities, but I guess they think it's ok to call a \_\_\_\_\_ a \_\_\_\_\_.

She CAN say whatever she wants. How can one practice law in such an unethical manner and get away with it? As a normal citizen (I'm a \_\_\_\_\_ and know nothing of the legal world), I have no say.

Upon information and belief (ie my daughter informed me), my daughter was coaxed by \_\_\_\_\_ and her client to stage a runaway from my home to prove what an unsafe and neglectful father I am. I even showed a video of it the fake runaway to the court, but was looked on with a blind eye. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ allows this behavior.

In the end, the case brought by \_\_\_\_\_ against me was THROWN OUT by Judge \_\_\_\_\_ .... & I paid legal fees not only to defend myself against the claims, but also the legal fees to MAKE the claims AGAINST me.

Ahh, but when Judge \_\_\_\_\_ set very strict guidelines that neither parent shall provide a cell phone to my daughter, and she WAS 100% provided a cell phone by MOM, this rule he did not hold so strictly. It was pretty obvious—the phone my daughter had was tied to her mom's account. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ can't even follow his own rules, and when I follow them to the T, I get penalized. This is his form of justice. Does he just favor moms, dislike dads, dislike \_\_\_\_\_, dislike \_\_\_\_\_? It must be one of the above.

Upon information and belief:

If you are looking for the best 1-star lawyer in Phoenix to unethically \*\*\*\* your ex, you found #1 in \_\_\_\_\_.

Bravo, \_\_\_\_\_!

And shame on you, Judge \_\_\_\_\_, for allowing these abuse claims to be made against me without ANY evidence at all, and not holding \_\_\_\_\_ accountable for following a code of ethics. THIS is the abuse. It is abuse against innocent FATHERS. It makes a mockery of the thousands of children out there who are actually being abused.

Instead, what did you do? Your judgement was that the abuse claims had ZERO basis, dropped the case entirely, and made me foot the bill. This is wrong.

Ps my "abused" and "neglected" daughter spent the ENTIRE last month with me (at HER OWN request AND at MOM'S request; yes, the woman who accused me of these awful things WILLFULLY gave up her parenting time to me because she needed to " \_\_\_\_\_").

Thank you for your time.

Sent from my iPhone