State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-389
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

June 28, 2023

The Complainant alleged erroneous legal rulings and racial bias by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 28, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-389

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judge's Name:		
words what you believe the names, dates, times, and p	ne judge did tha places that will b h copies (not orig	er of the same size to file a t constitutes judicial miscondunelp the commission understanginals) of relevant court documaint for your records.	ct. Be speci d your conc	ific and list all of the erns. Additional pages
PleasE	See	Aftached	She ofal	ets 8

-	\sim	BT	Sec. 1	- *	771	B 7/7	rI	
•		134	M. I	,,,	H 1			

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
Instru	ctions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own
words	what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the
names,	dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional
pages i	may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side
	paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

PleasE See Attached Sheets Total of 8

Dear Commission on Judicial Performance,

I , would like to file a formal grievance regarding	l feel
that there was an abuse of power that was used in the cases of	and
During this entire process, from my view point,	has not shown that he has taken
the "Best interest of the Child" into consideration. Every decision that w	as handed down was in favor of
, not In final statem	nent, the tone of his response
makes it feel that I and mother () lied to the co	ourt. It was even stated that I
stated that I used a paralegal because I could not afford a lawyer in	I interpret this statement, as I
knowingly attempted to mislead the court. I paid for professional service	e of someone that I expected to
know the process. Due to the paralegal not serving , we find ourself	f in court. The stipulated
agreement was signed by the Judge in At that time, there was no	o question by the signing judge
or by the court for the need of proof that needed to be served.	
was well aware of the court order agreement by proof of financial	deposits into his account for
child support, visitation agreements, and his own statements. It feels as	
me for not spending tens of thousands of dollars initially, to get custody	_
court documents, claims that he "recently" (meaning) became	
Agreement from . Meanwhile this obvious lie was never questioned	• .
. It was mentioned multiple times in this entire process that Ch	*
into and joint banking account. Also, I needed a notar	
	ter, he is lying to the court and
not being held accountable.	, ,
Beauty all the level of the formation to both and a second	
Despite all the legal criteria to correct the birth certificate that	
"legal Father" never disputing the change in Birth Certificate.	, denied the Biological
Father the opportunity to have his name on his son's birth certificate! I	
interest of the Child" At each hearing, it was presented to the court the birth name. birth name is .	at is not even
bitti italie. bitti italie is .	
has been made aware multiple times that	, is not even Birth
name. He took on his wife's name to hide from his past criminal backgro	und. maiden last name is
	name change or correction of
the birth certificate. How does this benefit ?	
gave credit for being in life for t	he past years. Yet there is no
credit given to the biological father for being the father figure and a very	
for the past years. , was provided information regarding	
consistent visitation, taking to multiple times to be with blo	
coming to to be with Biological Father staying to late hours	
work with to help get his grades up. Taking to his pra	actices and sporting events.
Paying hundreds of dollars for extra tutoring. Plus, much more. But no w	here is biological father given
any credit for being a father figure.	
In final statement. It appears that	, looked for any reasons to
<u> </u>	calls the legal father " and
the biological father "I ". For those who know, it is an honor in	_

. My grandfather was sincerely called by his kids and all who knew him. I called my father , and all that were close him called him the same. Also when I came into live at years, he was calling , " ". So not to confuse a agreed not to force him to call me " ."

was considered a "nice person" by the people who knew him. He was the life of the party. He worked as clown and was a community leader. Yet he preyed on young men and killed many of them. I am not saying that is a murderer, but there are some similarities. always has to be the center of attention and the partyer. does prey on women and children and emotionally kills them. has destroyed multiple lives. He has stolen people's identity, finances, and destroyed relationships of people around him.

This is the type of person that has found to be a suitable role model for my son. Despite multiple evidence provided to the court and testimony of witnesses.

finds as a fit parent.

The lack of even one character letter or one witness willing to testify on his behave, was not enough of a red flag to find judgement against still found in favor and granting him all powers as "father" over my son.

The court was provided multiple character letters and three witnesses that took time out of their day to testify of the relationship I had with my son for the past to years. As well as the negative events they witnessed between and . Testimony was also provided regarding general negative behaviors exhibited towards his family. appears to totally disregard the testimony of a Pastor, a Registered Nurse, and a close neighbor. All three put their careers and integrity on the line. Yet has multiple friends, business associates and clients; not one person would testify in person or even on paper of his "positive" role as a father.

decisions has racial bias from start to finish. This is another example of people with power keeping Black children from real positive Black Men. has accepted all the lies of a White man, over all the truths of a Black man. Even in the report from evaluation which has referenced; acknowledges as seeing as a "fun" dad. Yet there is no indication that he took statement of the biological dad, being a person of "truth", into consideration on what is best for development and well fare of Based on this decision "Fun trumps Truth".

The following Specific Captions from Decision, I find to be very troubling and without merit.

made the statement in his decision "

This is an assumption made by the . . Just because people live together, does not mean there is a "bonded relationship". This assumes that children that live with abusive parents, have a loving,

bonding and functionable relationship with the parent(s). People live in this situation for years and decades because usually there are no other viable options at that particular moment in time. This does not mean that there is a bonding relationship. This is unfortunately the situation in the divorce case. with and has a biological year old daughter with nother. According to statement. We are to believe that there should be an unbreakable bond with daughter. Yet own biological daughter has very little to do with him. was granted every other weekend of his daughter in the temporary orders of the divorce case. Yet she has not gone to see her father for multiple weeks at time. When she does go to see him, she returns home to her mother in an altered state of fear and anger. was provided evidence of this through multiple text messages and emails between the daughter and mother, father and daughter, father and mother. Despite knowledge and evidence of verbal threats also verbal and emotional abuse; made it clear in the temporary orders that is to go to even if his own biological daughter did not go. That alone put unmeasurable stress and anger between the two siblings.
may have stood in the position of " ' for the last years, but he did not stand in the position of " '.
has not provided a single dime of support for since they moved out almost years ago.
As I became involved in live. I was always introduced to everyone by and as " would also introduced me as father to his own friends, despite the disgust he had when he verbalized it. It usually was followed by a derogatory comment.
I had taken on the "Father Role", within months of my introduction to Which included but not limited to School activities, paying child support before any agreement or court signing was made, paying for extra tutoring after school, taking care of him when he was sick, shopping for and with for cloths and toys, and many other Father/Dad duties.
• also states "
The fact that stated multiple times that he saw every day is lying under oath. Where is the consequence?

How could see every day if he was me mostly every other weekend. I also had most and after school. text and Phone log was entered into evidence and it showed the very limited amount times and little substance in the text messages he had with when was me. The text messages even showed the irresponsible actions cook when was in his care.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.