

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-395

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 16, 2023

The Complainant alleged corruption and bias on the part of a superior court judge.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 16, 2023.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. :

As noted in the letter you were sent, Commission staff is not required to tolerate verbal over the phone. They have terminated calls from you when you have become verbally . Hence, the decision was made to require that all communication be in writing.

Please note that the eleven members of the Commission, not Commission staff, review all complaints and make a determination as to whether there has been a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct, and if so, what the appropriate sanction is. Your prior complaints were reviewed by the Commission members, and they determined there was not clear and convincing evidence of a Code violation and dismissed your prior complaints. You may disagree with those decisions, but that is not a reason to be verbally abusive to staff.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless

you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

You have made inquiries in your letter that I have been totally rude and abusive to your staff so I would like to Lodge a complaint your staff has been very unprofessional with myself as well and it's been doing noted that your staff hangs up on people rudely when ask nicely to move forward and this is in your Court the judicial conduct and other divisions of your court when there has been issues filed since with your organization and you have done nothing in regards to the other than allow civil rights violation and Prejudice court system to move forward this is a complaint from

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

It's just like when you bring the same example up to the the commission the of that I etc and they do nothing they're just as guilty as the one doing the job doing the crime because they have the power to put a stop to it but they don't want to even investigate same with your he's just as guilty of doing the crimes is what the people that are doing it by allowing it to take place when you get a letter from like the office bringing it to their attention this is what's going on and they don't even want to investigate you turn the other cheek that says you know what's going on is corrupted and it's a waste of tax dollars for the to be collecting his wage and your department gets paid through the court system or whatever it's a waste of money and the court system has become not Justice it's become a money game and that's all it is it's a money game because if it wasn't look at this you get a person wearing gets cited for not wearing their seatbelt okay they go to court and they go to court they get fined and some dollars you still put it on their driving record even if they pay their that you get someone that's driving around has no car insurance they get a ' n change fine because that's what ARS laws are great but the person pays the and some dollars for the wrongdoing that was supposed to be to make it right to their peers but she still hold it against them and they paid the price for or years later that's just examples

All of them and then the commission is failed to look in to other complaints and just removed complaints and stuff just because you remove a complaint or a conflict does not in no way resolve a conflict it's just your way of saying through you hand up I just don't have time or pat to deal with this matter and looking back through the file of what the judicial commission has done their can't be person wrong all the time says the commission does not want to handle the problem so they have also predjust them selves is why I requested the boards and the way you refuse to handle cousumer complaint if you can't deal with the public to then change jobs and take a job where you don't deal with people like me that don't understand talking loud and healing I talk this loud way is I grew up around death parents and it is now custom to have a loud voice if you also can't handle people language the way they talk with swere words get the condition change as people in all form talk different just like the courts dou you use these large leagle terms that people don't always understand what the word is like you take in subordination

Fancy fancy fancy word for not doing what I asked going against what I asked but the average person doesn't necessarily know what inspoordation means That's your legal jumble you almost have to have a dictionary of all you take any time you as the judicial conduct allow the courts to break the rules for one party that is an attorney that deals with law and get by with it and then you try to enforce the rules of the courts down on someone that doesn't do that profession and you know think about it that is prejudicing yourself The rules were set there for everybody to comply equal and that's the way you wanted it run and you allow the courts to do this about it this is brought to the judicial conduct attention they do nothing about it

Good afternoon:

Please clarify the intention of this email. Are the five items listed intended to be your specific allegations against a particular judge? If so, please advise which judge or judges these are the specific allegations against.

Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

1 going through with a complaint knowing there is a conflict between court staff and court administration is an ethics and unconstitution

2 discrimination against a party for not complying with certain rule time frame and allowing other parties to do it is a form of pre-judgment

3 always being denied motion on all presented to the courts

4 allow on court staff to continue to file fictitious claims against one party that are not true and if you read the file it clearly says they didn't receive any subpoenas example but yet then they file charges to bring charges against a party that the party has been serving their own subpoenas you can't have it both ways

5 moving forward and making a ruling when knowingly that there is a conflict unconstitution the Constitution says your innocent until proven guilty in the court of law with a fair unbiased unprejudiced court hearing

I can keep going but for now I won't until this goes through the federal legal channels which is going to head there it looks like nobody wants to handle white collared crimes no wonder why people end up doing what they do

Good morning:

The Commission is in receipt of the 4 emails you sent on in which you attached several photos of the first page of our complaint form. The complaints name Judge _____ Judge _____ Judge _____, and Judge _____. These complaints name a specific judge and could be processed, however, you do not make specific allegations about their conduct. You make vague statements that they have prejudiced themselves and that some have a conflict. You fail to specify how these judges have prejudiced themselves or what conflict they may have which would constitute a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct. If you describe the specific conduct of these judges that you believe constitutes ethical misconduct, then we can process your complaints. However, we will not be processing these complaints until you provide more specific allegations.

You also submitted a complaint form in which you name "All Court Adimt." We are unable to process this complaint. We can only process complaints against specific named judges. The Commission has no jurisdiction over court administration or court employees – only judges.

Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.