# State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

# Disposition of Complaint 22-411

Judge:

Complainant:

# ORDER

## June 28, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge concealed evidence while acting as an attorney prior to that judge's appointment to the bench.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 28, 2023.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

# 2022-411

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Qui tam-Whistlerblower Act. moves Governor the state of Arizona, Special Agent ðin di White Collar Church Crimess against Children Units = EX-Director Police officer, pursuant to Arizona Constitution Article 6.1 \$ 2 and A.R.S. \$38-341 hereby accuses defendent a member of Arizona of the , a deputy of the County Co County Attorney's Office and current Court judge for conduct violation of his Gath of Admission to the , duties, obligations contract as prosecutor, judge he failed to comport with ethical, procedural, gr legal rules on this day of , charging that in sounty, Arizona & madentents COUNT 1 Between on or about and detendant . Knowingly possessed deoxyribonuclic acid ("DNA") D) acquittal evidence reaarded as reports Department the 66 do of torensic phillence, and Mens rea-66 99 stated according to court records -Dar No, judge boy friend to and their torged Power Attorney Molder-for-hire scheme, added Detective - of the No Selective Enforcement Unit of Sounty sheriff office. "Thefts of? burglatized three sates of premarital contract, AIRS, <u>\$25-201</u> et seq.; deeds, **AAIII**, Liean ife insurance policy; cash and another pile of cash disappeared, life insurance policy, cash and another pile of cash disappeared, narcotics, opiates, prescription Log book, Rx pads, gold one ounce coins, firearms-computers, credit card terminal, patient appointment books to to benefit themse to benefit themselvies. was states witness in a bogus grand jury And , Whereas in behind-the-scenes retalitory investigation-had -DNA reports acquittal finger print reports and enhanced surveillance video evidence they buried. Said conduct occured when defendant possessed factual innocence evidence, aided and abetted 'scheme All in violation of Arizona v. Youngblood, 488 U.S. 51 (1988), State v. Ray Krone Case No. CR92-00212, THE INTEGRITY OF OUR CONVICTIONS; HOLDING STAKE HOLDERS ACCOUNTABLE IN AN ERA OF CRIMINAL REFORM, 48 GEO. LAW. REV. CRIM. PROC. (2019) pages ill to vill, re DNA Factual innocense case, deliberate rule breakers. identified & appropriately sanctioned is e. Michael Morton V. Ken Anderson, a prosector judge Who hid DNA evidence - a Brody violation & decades latter is arrested on the bench, disbarred Fined and imprisoned; SBA V. Hon. William O'Neal / Juan Martinez, SC. CV-20-00 35-5A THE INNOCENCE PROTECTION ACT, THE JUSTICE FOR ALL ACT 18US, C\$3600 ALF), THE RAPID LONA) TEST ACTOF 2017 SCT. No. SB-07-0030-D. Matter of T.A.Forshey, Disciplinary Comm No.s. 04-14-34. Comm. on Judicial Conduct Comolaint No. -Judge two bad actors. and , D. D.S. "A True Bill M -2012 ·151We received the documents you recently sent to us. In the document, you inquired about the cost to test hair DNA profiles along with a large caliber bullet.

No.

1

is intended to be used as a lead generation investigation tool. Because the law enforcement agency owns the crime scene evidence, we will need the investigating law enforcement agency or prosecutor's office to be involved and cooperative. In particular, they will need to allow a forensic laboratory DNA Analyst to complete our DNA intake form so we can assess the DNA remaining in your case. Before proceeding any further, we recommend that you or your attorney negotiate with the law enforcement agency or prosecutor's office to ensure their participation.

Typically, when the term "DNA profile" is used, it refers to a STR (shorttandem repeat) profile. Traditional STR profiles do not contain the genetic information needed to perform analysis, and therefore, cannot be used. takes advantage of modern single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP pronounced "snip") technology and utilizes laboratory processes called genotyping and sequencing.

In order to obtain the DNA SNP data we need, we require the submission of actual DNA extract obtained from the evidence. If DNA extract exists, and you have the cooperation of whoever is in charge of the evidence, we will need to have a DNA Analyst associated with the case complete our DNA assessment form at:

If DNA extract does not exist, in order to proceed, an attempt at an extraction will need to occur. Parabon itself does not perform DNA extractions. So, this would have to be done by the local or state forensic lab. If this is not possible, whoever is in charge of the evidence can authorize for the evidence to be sent to a private lab for an extraction. Once an extraction occurs, we will need the lab to complete our DNA assessment form, described above.

With regard to pricing, a customized quote is provided to the law enforcement agency or defense attorney after our case managers assess the DNA available in the case. Our price schedule and a description of each service is available at Recognizing you may not have access to the intermet, we have summarized this information on the subsequent pages.

If you would like us to work on this case, please have the prosecutor's office contact me to authorize a further discussion of the evidence.

Sincerely,

Case Manager

To:

### aka formallu dba Esp General Counsel Governor , and RE: DNA Factal Innocence Parlon and Appoint a Special Prosecutor to Reinvestigate 3 Case NO. Greetings Governor , Stakeholders and Media: I. Please grant my pardon and special prosecutor requests DNA Doesn't Lie 2. Deoxyribonuclic acid ("DNA") is But Bad Prosecutors regarded as the "gold standard " Judicial-Blas-Bribes Do Wilful or corrupt misconducto In Office A.R.S. \$ 38-341 of forensic evidence. bulkt, public hairs, saliva, blocd, skin cells Pardon Memoir Part 17A' DNA profiles of female and make perpettators incontrovertibly exonerates me. and supports my claim of factual innocence. -Judge 3. 3. Bar No. presented inaccurate evidence to a grand ivity. Failed to review available evidence, and when made aware he indicted an buried over , DNA reportsinnovent. **4**., ueats; to present county attorneys. and their staffs aided and abetted 's rogue acts - accessories before & atter thetact-acted in concert. resembling organized chime behind-the-scenes action involved misrepresentation by omission. Acts that did not comport will ethical, procedural, legal tules or binding precedent DNA innocence, , Comm. on Trial Cr. Appointment-Officials mishandled, ignored, 5. The Tolerated or acted in concert-campaign to cover-up bad acts for him to tise to Warrants "special investigation-prosecutor and Fuctual DMA innovence Pardon, A.R.S. \$12-771, Thank you for your consideration. Respertfully -151with Enclosures Former assistant state attorney, Former assistant state attorney haw provesor · Elective Clemency Allys, Board & Supervisors, Public Corruption Unit special Agent in charge of , Esq for 82TV stations; LLP. Stakeholders, Media, legislature, Podcast "Serialad DNA innocence; sot light AZ:), innocent prisoner. com., Philant ophistic Institution Jobs - wainst mass incarceration - Cortuption # The Foundation for Justice.

Acquittal Evidence. State of AZ VS. Actively Concealed From By Grand Jury, Prosecular Misconduct, Corrupt

of the states

, Case No. LAW OFFICE

AND

## **ATTORNEY AT LAW\***

\*ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN

#### HAND DELIVERED

County Attorney's Office Unit

County Attorney's Office

### RE:

Dear Ladies and Gentleman:

I am writing to advise you that I have taken over representation of on all of his pending criminal matters.

I am following up on letter of , in which he notified you that wishes to have the grand jury informed of his willingness to give testimony in the grand jury proceedings and to be represented by counsel during his testimony before the grand jury.

Please make arrangements for and myself to be available for potential testimony. Advising the grand jury that they would have to adjourn in order to hear

**TELEPHONE:** 

EMAIL:

Page 2

testimony would constitute a deliberate attempt on the part of your office to improperly influence the grand jury proceedings by discouraging their willingness to request testimony. If reasonable notice were given to my office and jail transportation, an adjournment would be unnecessary.

I would also like to be present during a return of the indictment so that I can represent on issues relating to his release conditions. The United States Constitution requires that an accused be afforded the right to representation by an attorney at all critical proceedings in his criminal case. Surely, a court's consideration of his conditions of release constitutes a critical proceeding. If you are contemplating asking that

be held without bond, then he is entitled to be represented at the hearing required by A.R.S. § 13-3961(C) and (D).

In addition, I am requesting that you poll the grand jury to determine whether they have been exposed to, and/or prejudiced by, any adverse publicity generated by Sheriff widely covered press conference in which he opined on guilt.

Finally, I am requesting that you provide the grand jury with the following exculpatory evidence relating to the credibility of your witness, is addicted to prescription drugs and has previously been committed to a residential drug treatment facility. In addition, has filed for divorce. Immediately prior to filing for divorce, removed approximately in cash from the marital home and cleaned out the bank accounts. I believe this information impacts upon her credibility and must be presented to the grand jury.

Sidem

cc:

**TELEPHONE:** 

EMAIL:

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.