

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-415

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 28, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner failed to inform him of a ruling in a post-conviction relief proceeding.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 28, 2023.

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
1501 W. WASHINGTON, SUITE 229
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

RE: JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OF

DEAR COMMISSION OFFICIALS:

I WOULD ASK FOR YOUR HELP IN THIS MATTER.

ISSUED AN ORDER

AND (ALTHOUGH I AM PROCEEDING WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY IN THIS RULE 32 MATTER) HE DID NOT SEND ME A COPY OF IT. SO MY RULE 32 MAY HAVE BEEN LOST. (REFERENCE)

THIS WAS ABSOLUTELY INTENTIONAL. ON I FILED A MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE, WHEREIN STRONGLY WORDED LANGUAGE WAS USED TO DESCRIBE MY ASSIGNED ATTORNEY'S REFUSAL TO SEND ME DOCUMENTS, AND APPARENTLY DIDN'T LIKE IT. SO HE ISSUED HIS ORDER WITHIN DAYS -- BUT APPARENTLY INSTRUCTED HIS OFFICE NOT TO SEND ME A COPY OF THE ORDER! I HAVE NEVER SEEN IT, TO THIS DAY. A WEEK AGO I SEND A LETTER TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT, ASKING ABOUT IT, BUT I HAVE NOT HEARD BACK.

YOU CAN SEE THE PROBLEM. I KNOW THE REQUESTED ORDER TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS WAS REVIEWED, BUT WHAT ABOUT MY REQUEST FOR A CONTINUANCE

2022-415

TO FILE MY PRO PER RULE 32 PETITION. BY NOT SENDING ME THE ORDER, EVEN IF A CONTINUANCE WAS GRANTED THAT TIME HAS NOW RUN OUT.

DID NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE METICULOUS LEGAL-MAIL RECORDS KEPT IN THE
HE HAS PEGGED ME AS A COMPLAINER, ALWAYS INSISTING I DIDN'T RECEIVE THINGS, SO I CAN HAVE SOMETHING NEW TO COMPLAIN ABOUT. BUT RECORDS WILL SHOW THAT HE NEVER SENT THE ORDER.

SPECIFICALLY, I ACCUSE OF SUBORNING HIS OFFICE STAFF TO BREAK THE LAW ON HIS BEHALF, INSTRUCTING THEM NOT TO SEND ME THE ORDER. HE DOESN'T INVOLVE HIMSELF IN THE MINUTAE OF HIS OFFICE, SO IT MAY BE INFERRED THAT HE ISSUED DIRECT ORDERS TO HIS STAFF NOT TO MAIL ME THE CRUCIAL ORDER. I SHOULD THINK YOU MIGHT WANT TO LOOK INTO THIS. THIS MAN IS INTEMPERATE AND CORRUPT, AND SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED WITH THE JUDICIARY.

PLEASE LET ME HEAR FROM YOU SOON, WONT YOU? THANKING YOU FOR YOUR ANTICIPATED CONSIDERATION, I AM,

SINCERELY,

ENC.

RE:

DEAR CLERK OF THE COURT:

I FILED A PRO PER "REITERATED MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, AND REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL CONTINUANCE TO FILE PRO PER RULE 32 PETITION" AUGUST 23, 2022, AND RECENTLY RECEIVED A LETTER FROM ATTORNEY STATING THAT A RULING HAD ENSUED FROM MY MOTION (SEE ATTACHED).

I DID NOT RECEIVE A COPY OF THE RULING. PLEASE SEND ME ONE.

SINCERELY,

ENCL.

Dear :

The motion to compel was denied. I never had a paper copy of your file to send to you. I sent you the discs that your previous lawyer sent to me and I do not have copies. I asked the to send you a paper copy of your files, and they said they already sent it to you.

Sincerely,

