

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-420

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 22, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings and conflict of interest by a justice of the peace hearing an eviction case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Louis Frank Dominguez, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 22, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2022-420****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

ON I had an Eviction Trial against
at Justice Court
Judge Presiding. In beginning of
Trial, Judge agreed that he would award the
winning attorney their court/attorney fees. In his
Ruling, he stated both attorneys would be responsible
for their own court/attorney fees. (He went back on his
word.)

Also, his conduct is a conflict of interest on
this case because Judge has daily
contact with plaintiff, he has business associates and
friends on the Board of the Plaintiff.
He socialize with these people that are
on the Board for
Therefore, it appears that he was bias.