State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-422
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged improper rulings and biased treatment by a superior court judge hearing a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-422

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Jud	ge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or pla words what you believe the judge names, dates, times, and places to pages may be attached along with cop of the paper only, and keep a copy of	did that constitutes juthat will help the coppies (not originals) of a	udicial misconduct. Be specific and commission understand your conce relevant court documents. Please co	d list all of the erns. Additional
The first case was non-payment of rent.	, Case No	, a simple eviction action	for
Judge started by asking me someone that resembled me before origin left me feeling uncomfortable.	near his neighborhoo		
In this case, the Defendant had not	paid rent since	. We came to trial in	
preclude evidence, filed late at night motion was discovered only a few m	t the evening before to	nd that he had not read it or had the	that the
Opposing counsel made oral repres Opposing counsel claimed they wer the court or opposing counsel. Later any language from the justice court premise of the motion was based up by any written finding.	re with prejudice. No d r when the documents stating that either cas	locuments supporting the ruling we s referred to were reviewed, they d se was dismissed with prejudice. The	ere provided to id not include he entire
Notwithstanding, without reviewing a the motion to preclude my claims for judgments or orders or documents counsel)	r rent from to	even though there we	ere no
When I filed a motion to dismiss with in attorney fees for "	hout prejudice so we	could regroup. He awarded the oth	er party over

ı	co	MI	TT1	\mathbf{n}_{E}	N'	TIL	IT.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE	
Name: Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a comwords what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. In names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand you may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	Be specific and list all of the ur concerns. Additional pages
In the second case, Case No when I pureceived a lease drafted by the Defendant which stated in two places that desterminated by either party by -day notice. I also received a sworn statem me their lease with Defendant of the property I was purchasing was month-to Defendent was months past due on rent at the time of purchase.	spite its term, it could be lent from the seller informing
Judge again entertained a motion filed on the eve of trial by the Defethe evidence and the clear language of the contract or hold the ambiguity again Defendant) he accepted an argument focusing on the contortions of English was clear as mud, he ruled that I could not terminate the Defendant's lease with despite the language of the lease to the contrary and the statements of the secondary.	ainst the drafter (the grammar. In a decision that vith a 1 -day notice,
Judge permitted the opposing party to again apply for attorney fees a the claim of counsel that he raised his hourly rate by since his last applications reviewing his financial records in preparing the fee application. (The he hour) He awarded them over in attorney fees.	cation or that he spent
Both of these decisions are on appeal based upon his abuse of discretion.	
Setting his abuse of discretion aside, Judge treatment of me in both shocking bias.	n cases demonstrated