

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-423

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 5, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings regarding release conditions and double jeopardy in criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 5, 2023.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Both myself and \_\_\_\_\_ including  
attorney \_\_\_\_\_ and prosecution  
in \_\_\_\_\_ entered into a contractual obligation  
set forth by the Commissioner after  
I was sent to \_\_\_\_\_ Jail in \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ which stated on order/documenting  
that \_\_\_\_\_ or \_\_\_\_\_  
will amend to initial court appearance  
if electronic ankle monitoring device  
is not applied within \_\_\_\_\_ hrs of  
release. It was taken to \_\_\_\_\_  
after that and told the \_\_\_\_\_  
dept. would apply an ankle monitor  
as they did not and I was subsequently  
released from \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ 2; without one! This constitutes  
as a breach of contract and void  
contract of not abiding by  
contractual obligation on a legal  
official \_\_\_\_\_ document  
that was signed by Commissioner  
\_\_\_\_\_! This was specific details/  
orders in fine print on Release  
Order and initial court appearance  
was not amended!

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****2022-423****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Also the fact of Double Jeopardy arises as  
I was arrested for \_\_\_\_\_ - Agg. Aslt. W.  
Deadly Weapon and Crim. Damage twice! I was  
arrested, then when the \_\_\_\_\_  
did not press charges; most likely because I  
was innocent, arresting officer \_\_\_\_\_  
filed a 'Form IV', and re-arrested me.  
The first arrest, I was not even heard/  
seen by a judge and arraigned, yet let out  
days later! Only to be RE-ARRESTED;  
in direct violation of my Double Jeopardy  
clause rights! Please prosecute! I am going  
to! This is corruption! This is judicial  
misconduct!