

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-436

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 5, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court commissioner hearing a probation violation matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 5, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2022-436****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

After being given a Guardian Ad Litem
for stating that I gave away money
to some individuals who were needy
on Christmas, decides to
hire an investigator named
and do an (illegal) monetary investigation
on me. Visits me while in custody
and asks me specifics of my social
security number, if I have a credit line,
creditor, credit union, how much I
have in bank, how much my social
security payments are and what type/
kind etc. VERY UNLAWFUL!

Also, a Rule 32 deemed timely as
a show of good cause and due to
rule Prisoners Mailbox Rule 2.0
I was yet still requested to
resubmit documents; 'originals'.
I was told by judge
I previously sent notarized copies.
I sent the originals, timely, yet
again, and no response. The
Rule 32 contained evidence of Coercion,
Moral Coercion, Double Jeopardy; breach
of contract.