State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-443
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

April 20, 2023

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly considered evidence from another case when hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 20, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-443

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name: HONGRABLE
words what you believe the judge did that constit names, dates, times, and places that will help	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own utes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the the commission understand your concerns. Additional ls) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side r your records.
opposing party attorney and client to overshadow c my other case which also at the tir as of the commissoner had me submitted her court should not use filings from another case to counsel and myself and Attorney General office voi active and documents has been submitted too show clerical areas. Allegations made was used to detern how any parent who takes care of thier children be in this system even after being sexual assulted by t	and arguments was made on my behalf that the ithout any true facts. Honorable Judge dijudical statues. The Commissoner allowed the surrent case by introducing misleading information from me was being rep by the same counsel lover pages to show why the case being heard in o determine the outcome of case being heard. My ce was not recongized. Presently the other case is we the continuous lying, fraud, false documents and mine hearings in case and this isn't treated neither female or male. I have tried to believe