

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-445

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged improper rulings by a superior court commissioner hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2022 - 445****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: _____ Judge's Name: Honorable

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In the court of Commissioner Remote Courtroom, the hearing was based on Support calculations and Enforcement of Support payments. I again informed the of the statements of Commissioner court from to continue to inform the court remember that thoses calulations are in erorr and the oposing party under oath is present in her courtroom continue to provide false misleading information. During the before and during the court hearing (twice) this court for the case found errors which other judges was ignoring then when informed during those hearings that they are several clerical errors along with the opposing party willingness to lie and provide misleading statements / court error documents determine the rulings in court. I explained to Commissioner I disagree with the statements made and provided statements and evidence to show prior courts acting on erorr instead of factual but I was ignore and told basically (in my words go file something for another court to consider). I plead with this Honorable Judge court to really review the provided along with what is said in hearing. A week letter this court release a minute entry that didn't aling with what was discussed outside of ruling on fraud and misleading information from the opposing party. I want to believe there was no discrimination within this process but I get each new judge that handled my cases the upmost respect. I am trying hard to believe a father truly has rights and the children are truly being considered as best interest in these cases let along all involved in these rulings has been by female Honors. We are suppose to walk into any courtroom under the impression that it's just a Honorable Judge providing justice for all to best of their abilities.