State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-449
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged incompetence by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-449

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	udge
words what you believe the jud names, dates, times, and place pages may be attached along with	plain paper of the same size to file lege did that constitutes judicial miscon es that will help the commission un h copies (not originals) of relevant court y of the complaint for your records.	nduct. Be specific and list all of the nderstand your concerns. Additional
the original incomplete and inacto the remanded back by the and Judge not being able Self-Employed Income from an duties to his job and caused no	curate ruling. There was no action tal on the original ruling and	the ruling was Vacated and original ruling and being incomplete cated facts in determining Judge ailed at the very basic cause financial disparity on the
the Respondent and again Judg rushed and incomplete decision to Reconsider due to his inability Judge. We may very well end u admonished by both counsels a his screw up again for the secor In this second ruling, he has issued worksheets with no decision on were intended for, he issued a rissues outside the scope of what in regard to again and make it after the verifying the facts of what was struling for in which the Then turned arouse Petitioners expenses that	ppearing for the respondent, he can dend time. ued a ruling based on unsupported and how he came up with the numbers and uling for new child support but without at was being asked for and went against expenses being the responsible fact. He also rewarded the Petitione submitted to the court. He awarded the Petitioner paid for the end and ordered the Respondent in the	c duties of his job and issued a Rule 84 For Clarification or a Motion at are required for a se in a effort to avoid being eny to hear the motions and avoid ad undocumented Child Support and not showing for what peroid they an arrears calculation, he ruled on st his own ruling previously on wility of the Petitioner to later rule ar reimbursement of funds without for and under the original and the Respondent paid for the
the court that the Petitioner was income that the Judge failed to knowing the actual amount of m	estimony of the Petitioner, it was a ver withholding income information and ly admonish or even order the proper info loney at the Petitioner's disposal and juling based on inference due to the Petitioner's	ying under oath in regard to his ormation to be able to do his job in ust went with what was being
dealings of with no		emoval from the bench due to his