State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-458
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

September 15, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper legal rulings and violated her due process rights when hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 15, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: ____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
Judge decided to take Jurisdiction
in AZ without consideration of the UJCCEA, He
further entertained a custody case filed months
cyte; Respondent hard voided in combined the
Child Support Case and allowed Petitioner to
Continue to pusue discovery from respondent, refuse,
to honor respondents motions requesting discovery
B interrogatories from Petitioner. Respondent requested dismissed of custody and enforcement of child Support runerous times to be enforcement of child Support runerous times to be
Respondent requested dismissed of times to be
enforcement of child support none
15 miles & defined.
Little Ciyla after luck in the
and still isn't in the Child's Buth confict,
Chapter 10: Marie Annied Respondent Cities
Clerk of Court isn't filing her motions into record. Respondent was derived the right to appear
Respondent was derived the regard on the
Med Mediana To Medical States
Support. The Jurisdiction should not be 42.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-458

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

___ Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. The Jidge entered an order of which I have included my Appeal. With I have included my Appeal. Benefit a minor
child. In this case, the miner child happy be hardicapped and is a resident of the hardicapped and is a resident of Az Attoriey General needs to modify/ostablish Az Attoriey General needs to Respondent
HZ 1
Child Support due from Potationer to Personantes Petitioner rosides in Asignora inter Personante his petitioner rosides and resides inter personante his years ith
and wow to
1 Cin Door
respondent to appear and widnowl construct and residency of miner child being in and valid evidence of residency of miner child being in and valid evidence of residency of multiple to complex medical valid regards to his multiple to complex medical
diapusis.

COURT OF ARIZONA COUNTY

Petitioner))	Case Number:
) v.)	
) Respondent)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
Notice is hereby given the from the Order made and entere		case o	appeals to the Arizona

The order is for paternity, legal decision making, parenting time and child support. Child Support and Custody are separate issues. The order was made without the Respondent having the opportunity to appear. The order is not considering the residency of the Respondent and minor child being jurisdiction of . The order does not consider the medical condition of the minor handicapped child. It would be highly unsafe to follow the order, leaving a minor handicapped child in a State he doesn't reside in, isn't enrolled in school & therapies, wouldn't have the medical equipment & medications and with a party who does not have knowledge of how to care for him.

Respondent has provided a utility bill from and evidence of the planned moved, with communications from Petitioner. The Respondent is the only party on the birth certificate. The paternity wasn't established until . Medical documentation was provided to the Intermediary.

(

Petitioner has not had any physical contact with the child in over years. We are not sure the child even knows the Petitioner. The child is and as well as and All the known data regarding these diagnosis' show a high possibility of regressions as well as an increase of adverse behaviors.

The Child has resided with the Respondent his entire months, whereas the Respondent has been the full time custodial and care parent. Doctor and Therapist notes can be provided to show the little to none attendance by the Petitioner, therefore lacking the knowledges needed to care for child. The Respondent filed for support in after caring for child alone for years. The order was vacated due to the respondent and petitioner living together for years, as well as children. Since respondent and child have resided in Child has been enrolled in school, several therapies and has progressed from a wheelchair to walking! It would be detrimental to have him travel long distances weekly and have his routine disrupted, not to mention his safety at risk.

Dated this	day of	
Dated this	uav oi	

COPIES Sent:

Atty for Petitioner

-On this day

Athy for State of AZ

Petitioner, Pro-par