

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-475

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 5, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court commissioner hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 5, 2023.

**Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct**  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2022-475

C  
Municipal Justice  
Agricultural  
Gov.? Rule  
ham...

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Lobbying + days of continuances  
 Unspecified Dr./Psych meeting unsanctioned not in writing  
 Forensic contract broken  
 Rules of forfeiture violated  
 \* - Violation of civil rights Constitution AZ & US -  
 - No chain of custody -  
 - Jurisdictional court (Prelim?) - professionalism breached -  
 No right to treat -  
 Use of sedatives -  
 Corn of blood forfeiture of estate  
 mail fraud -  
 - Cover up (Forebode/assault)  
 Threat to lessees -  
 Warehousing non dangerous 1/m  
 Entrepreneur  
 Changed lawyers 2+ weeks ago  
 still no response  
 No response from lawyer  
 My municipal cases are ACH  
 2nd Crim Div.

(@arraignment) My municipal cases are Aslt  
and Crim Dev.

How many zip codes are there in  
Postal codes? Phoenix?

Only supposed to be one, right?  
(leg) mail opened w/out present or signature also from weeks previous dated received, I received weeks later!

in Aslt.

Court

arraignment @ Jail, invocation of  
 Rights and amendment process. { Very last person heard }  
 P.M. Due ~~violation~~ violation of 1<sup>st</sup> & 6<sup>th</sup> / Corrupt cited / Lobbying invoked / Prof. Fred  
 Judge, cited included, Her enclosed 1<sup>st</sup> & 5<sup>th</sup> invoked / Known to violate  
 TUE. or Thurs. Note: {

am. approx. { Note: (C.P.O. No papers yet)  
 Rep. Dr. Loh to sign

listen and without my knowledge and consent wait for Rule 11 exam. I want Note: Cortader!  
 As did another female inmate @ court. Carter did not listen / abide by my plea! \*My\* not:

Also 1<sup>st</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> and Double Jeopardy

rights invoked @ other times of delay and constraint; the client / lawyer privilege was is violated. Due process as well as Forensic Contract and Rule

Violation of corrupt Seemed / Due process; 9.15m Felt like cited; invoked " Superior @ arraignment. al this hearing I invoked, 1 cite Lobbying; Aslt + Retaliation cited & invoked. @ arraignment means! In regards to

hearing I meet my defense who either leaked info and purged info in open court supposedly on record of factual basis not abiding by discretion of client / lawyer privilege confidentiality in violation of 5<sup>th</sup> invoked @ arraignment and self-evident at this "prelim"; 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing, before I chose disposition also heard - \* in open court counsel did not

Note: { Court house Rm. --- Court? or Juvis- dictional Court i.e. Rule 11 or prelim. exam for mis- demeanor com- plaint... (Preliminary? ?! Hearing; 2nd time heard). Baliff

fairly have bald spot, curly, short stoppy judge of the also who conducted Payment; Plans; with me. in that room! Resembles; (justice), Mike Thetford.

Corrupt

...and Exposed to all of all kinds of...  
...for the lower courts such as...  
...of justice (Due to and Enlarged of Rule 11 and...  
...no good cause for continuance...  
...no prelim exam for counsel...  
...competency...  
...frame of mind at constant...  
...being retaliatory...  
...evidence involve me from...  
...freedom from intimidation...  
...No contradicted obligation...  
...No response when asked for A.R. bond...  
...redirection and to recite...  
...64 days of waiting for Rule 11...  
...hearing from last hearing of...  
...to next...  
...Note: Can not have...  
...No reason for Rule 11 exam...  
...cause despite...  
...except for...  
...122 hearings and scheduled...  
...date...  
...right safely, soundly, and...  
...I respectfully ask for the right to be...  
...this cong. man of sound mind...  
...state...  
...amendment...  
...no...  
...not including...  
...34...  
...have tick and...  
...Duke's...  
...not...  
...continued...  
...date...