

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-485

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge revealed a protected address and demonstrated gender bias when hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2022-485

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [REDACTED]

Judge's Name: [REDACTED]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge [REDACTED] engaged in highly discriminatory and misogynistic behavior during our recent Resolution Management hearing in the case of [REDACTED] (Case# [REDACTED]) held on Wednesday, [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] via Microsoft Teams online meeting.

My supporting statement for the basis of this complaint is provided below, and supporting documentation for this statement is also attached.

1. Pretending to not know what the Arizona Address Confidentiality Program is and denying me the acknowledgement of this program under oath was a direct implication that I was being untruthful about my referral to this program by no less than a different AZ [REDACTED] official (Commissioner [REDACTED]) at the [REDACTED] Justice Center on [REDACTED], and that I complied with the necessary steps of this program in order to be afforded protection (please see the attached form). If Judge [REDACTED] lack of knowledge of this program is a genuine oversight into the available programs and resources of the state, then, as a judge overseeing court room proceedings and formulating judgements that directly impact the outcomes on other people's lives, he should certainly be more knowledgeable on this matter. Otherwise, using the courtroom to openly gaslight one of the individuals you are presiding over is despicable and highly unprofessional behavior, and it is certainly not impartial or objective, as is proper behavior for a Judge. If Judge [REDACTED] is having difficulty keeping up with the current information and trends of his state, which is required in order to perform his job, perhaps he should consider retirement.
2. After I repeatedly voiced my concerns to the court for my physical safety with regard to my exposure to my ex husband, who was in attendance at this hearing, the Judge ordered the court reporter to read out loud in court directly in front of this person the city of the address of my physical location. This is a direct violation of my personal safety and the Arizona Address Confidentiality Program.
3. The hearing was established to be non evidenciary ahead of time, thereby preventing me from being able to submit absolutely any evidence to support my verbal testimony before or during the hearing. This is an overtly coercive technique, directly prohibiting me from being able to adequately defend myself by using supporting evidence in a court of law. In addition, I had a written statement prepared for the court for this hearing, and although I offered to read it several times during the hearing, Judge [REDACTED] prevented me from being able to do so.
4. The judge asked me twice if I felt coerced by him into the resolution of the court. This a clear indicator he was well aware he was engaging in completely coercive and harmful behavior against me, and he asked this question in order to exonerate himself, under oath, from the coercive behavior he KNEW he was engaging in with me by again forcing a verbal response to this question based on the circumstances. This is particularly concerning behavior and has me seriously considering the possibility this man has a prior history of misconduct with women, professional, sexual, or otherwise. This may be an area the state should consider launching an investigation.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

--

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge [REDACTED] clearly has no respect for women and uses his position of power as a judge to prevent women from having their moment to present their case to the court, fairly, using supporting evidence, and in a non coercive manner, where they are respected and shown regard for their immediate safety. This case should be placed immediately under review and his prior rulings, particularly any findings against women, should also be reviewed. The state judicial system should have the recording of this hearing, and it should be fairly easy to access, as it occurred less [REDACTED] hours prior to the writing and submission of this statement.



# Arizona Address Confidentiality Program

Office of the Arizona Secretary of State

## CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

Application Type: ☒ New ☐ Reinstatement Referred By: ☒ Domestic Violence Program ☐ Sexual Assault Program  
☐ Government Agency ☐ Other

### Applicant Information

First Middle Last Date of Birth Arizona Driver License or Identification Number

**Co Applicants:** Please list all minor children and adults who live in the household and are enrolling to keep primary applicant safe. All persons 18 and over must initial and sign the application. Add additional pages if necessary.

First	Middle	Last	Relationship to Applicant	Date of Birth	Arizona Driver License or Identification Number
1.			SELF		
2.					
3.					
4.					

**Residential Address:** Address where you currently live in Arizona, even if temporary. It must be a street address. PMB or PO Boxes are not accepted. Is this address a confidential domestic violence shelter location? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Street Address City State Zip Code

**Mailing Address:** If different from residential address. Mailing addresses should only be used if mail cannot be delivered to residential address.

Street Address or PMB/PO Box Number City State Zip Code

### Contact Information:

Telephone #1 (circle one) home/work/cell Telephone #2 (circle one) home/work/cell  
Email Address Initial if the ACP has your permission to contact you by email for general information pertaining to your status in the program. ☒  
Permanent Emergency Contact Person: (this person is an individual who does not live with you.) Relationship to Applicant  
Name (First and Last) Telephone FRIEND

**OTHER KNOWN NAMES:** I or my co-applicants may receive mail in the following other legal names (i.e. maiden name, former legal names, etc.)

**SAFE LABEL NAME:** As an additional safety planning step, mail delivered from ACP to the applicant at their residential address will be addressed in a different name. Please send me my mail in this safe name:

Name of Person You Are Fearful Of:	Does this person work for a government agency or law enforcement? If yes, for safety reasons, please tell us what agency?
	NOT SURE

### ACP Required Responsibilities

**Family Court Orders or Actions Pending:** ACP is required to notify the courts if an applicant has an Arizona family court case that involves divorce, child support, parenting time, or parenting responsibilities. ACP only provides notice for these types of cases & only if they are pending or ordered. Cases occurring outside of Arizona or other court cases, even in AZ will require the individual to notify of substitute address once certified.

Name of Court	Name of Parties Involved	Case Number	Date Filed/Ordered

**School Information:** ACP is required to transfer school records between previous school and enrolling school. ACP is also required to determine school boundary eligibility for public schools. If you have a minor child who will be enrolling in a new school, please check yes below. If you check yes, do not tell enrolling school where child used to attend school and do not allow for transfer of school records. YES NO

**Voter Registration:** ACP participants who wish to register to vote must do so through ACP only, as the voter record is secured due to locating identifying information contained in the actual record. If you are eligible to register to vote in the state of Arizona (reside in state 29 days before next election, are a US citizen, and your rights have not been revoked) or if you are currently registered to vote in Arizona, ACP will send you a Protected Voter Packet to complete.

Are you eligible to register to vote in the state of Arizona?	YES NO
Are you currently registered to vote in the state of Arizona?	YES NO

**AHCCCS:** To minimize impact of applicant benefits due to substitute address, ACP works in cooperation with AHCCCS on health plans.

Do you or any of your co-applicants currently receive medical assistance through AHCCCS?	YES NO
If yes, do you consent to the release of your confidential zip code, only given to specific ACP/ AHCCCS liaisons and only for the purpose of ensuring health benefits are assigned appropriately? Release of information is done through an encrypted system.	Initial here if you consent to release