State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-487
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

July 10, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings and political bias by a superior court judge hearing a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-487

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:		Judge's Na	me:	
words what you believe names, dates, times, ar	the judge did that con ad places that will he ong with copies (not orig	stitutes judicial lp the commiss inals) of relevan	misconduct. Be s ion understand y t court documents	nt. Describe in your own pecific and list all of the your concerns. Additional . Please complete one side
in as	V in ∆rizona, abu	and sed the power o	and f her office "	explains how
_, as		ecifically: "	THE Office.	
." Unde	r Demand for Relief	requests:	α	
state: "A. Any public of substantial interest in an that interest in the official participating in any maniform officer or employee who agency shall make know participating in any maniform and B "substantial interestertainly is. Judge section B. Why? The of opinion the average mid ruling: "	y contract, sale, purcha I records of such public her as an officer or emp has, or whose relative has ner as an officer or emp st in any decision of a p in her ruling focuses only 2 choices that come	se or service to agency and sha loyee in such conas, a substantia ficial records of loyee in such depublic agency". On the non application mind are: 1.1	such public agen- all refrain from vot intract, sale or pu- al interest in any of such public agen- icision." A is abo Obviously A is no able sub section Bias 2. Incompete	cy shall make known ing upon or otherwise rchase. B. Any public decision of a public cy and shall refrain from ut monetary enrichment applicable but B A and ignores subence. In my humble
J		-		" and then "
Statement is to A.R.S. § well-pled facts; they are obliged to assume their conclusions." Arizona I	legal conclusions masq truth. See Jeter, 211 Ari	uerading as alle z. 386, 389, ¶ 4	public employees ged facts. As suc	h, this court is not

	NFIDENTIAL	:-1 C1t
Arız	zona Commission on Judio	cial Conduct
1501	1 W. Washington Street, S	Suite 229
Pho	enix, Arizona 85007	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:						Judge's Name:											
Instructions: Use	this	form	or	plain	paper	of	the	same	size	to	$_{\rm file}$	a	complaint.	Describe	in	your	own

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.