

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-489

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 14, 2023

The complainant alleged bias and improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 14, 2023.

COMP

2022-489

## ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

ON

IN COUNTY

1, ATTENDED A HEARING FOR MULTIPLE MOTIONS

HELD BY JUDGE

FOR CASE NO.:

@ PM - PM. DURING THIS HEARING JUDGE

EXHIBITED PREJUDICE AND BIAS BEHAVIOR EXPRESSED AND EXERCISED

IN HIS REMARKS MOTIVATED TO REINFORCE HIS DECISIONS ON THE MOTIONS

HEARD. JUDGE

IS UNABLE TO CONDUCT A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL HEARING

AND COURT PROCEDURE IN THE ABOVE CASE #S, DUE TO HIS RECENTLY ADMITTED

PERSONAL RELATION TO THE ALLEGED INCIDENT IN THE ABOVE CASE #S. BEING

THE LOCATION OF WHAT JUDGE CLAIMS TO BE HIS " OF RESIDENCE.

FURTHERMORE, WITHIN THIS SAME HEARING JUDGE ADMITTED THE ABOVE

VERY PERSONAL INFORMATION, MY COUNSEL AND I, WERE DEBATING THE DETAILS OF

MISIDENTIFICATION OF CLOTHING FOR PURPOSES OF CHALLENGES TO PROBABLE CAUSE

DETAILS CONCERNING DESCRIPTION, IN WHICH JUDGE AFFIRMED THAT

" OR ASSUMED DEFENDANT "

" THIS WAS NEVER THE STATES CLAIM OR REBUTAL,

YET JUDGE ASSERTED SUCH BIAS STATEMENTS IN FAVOR OF PROSECUTION

TO OFFER A VIEW OF ACCUSATORY SUGGESTION. JUDGE NOT ONLY PER-

TICIPATED IN THE ACCUSATORY PROCESS, BUT ALSO EMBODIED THE ROLE OF A

PROSECUTOR WITH FORENSIC BACKGROUND, JUDGE HAS RELINQUISHED THE

MAJORITY OF HIS CASE LOAD DUE TO HIS RETIREMENT, IT IS SAFE TO BELIEVE

THAT WITH THE ABOVE CONCERNS OF VIOLATION THAT JUDGE HAS

HELD ONTO MY CASE FOR PERSONAL INTEREST AND JUDICIAL VENGEANCE, ESPE-

CIALY IF JUDGE REMAINING CASE LOAD SHOWS A DISCRIMINATION

(1 OF 2)

2022-489

FOR A SPECIFIC DEGREE AND CLASS OF CHARGE IN COMMON. / WILL BE  
FILING A (10.2) 'CHANGE OF VENUE' AND WANT TO DOCUMENT THIS  
VERY ILLEGAL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ABUSE OF AUTHORITY, WHICH JUDGE

WOULD LIKE TO INTIMIDATE EVERYONE INTO BELIEVING IS  
METHOD HIS UPHOLDING TOWARD MY 14TH AND 6TH AMENDMENTS IN  
REGARDS TO MY DUE PROCESS AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. SEE TRANSCRIPTS  
IF NECESSARY FOR @ TO CONFIRM  
MY COMPLAINTS' AUTHENTICITY. I AM RESPECTFULLY REQUESTING THE  
COMMISSION'S ASSISTANCE IN A NEW JUDGE WHO IS ASSURED TO BE  
FREE OF ALL BIAS AND POTENTIAL PREJUDICE IN ORDER TO ASSURE I  
RELIEVE A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL BEFORE THINGS BECOME WORSE. SURELY,  
I FEAR RETALIATION FOR REPORTING THE ABOVE VIOLATIONS. NATURALLY  
FOR HE ALREADY BEEN DONE UNJUSTLY. PLEASE ASSIST I RECIEVE MY  
CONSTITUTIONAL STANDARD OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE AND DUE PROCESS.

THANK YOU. RESPECTFULLY