State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 22-490
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

July 14, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge denied constitutional rights and showed poor demeanor during a criminal trial.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 14, 2023.

At my TRIAL. My facts ARE AS Follows - to Anzona Comm. on Judzial conduct
Hello there this is and I read an Antical by
Recently in the ARIZONA Republic. I was converted

About years ago for something that didn't happen. ONE of the Judges () was my trad and Rule 32 Judge. According to the article Judge scored well, I find this had to believe for according to my first hand experiences with him. Not sure exactly how you people make your Judgements or on what facts your actually decide upon but I have several examples I need jou to lock at to hopefully prevent whits happened to me from tappening to others and maybe look at my ase for further Evaluation As to my Appeals and TRIAL Being Ruled upon fairly and According to My Constitutional Rights.

dismissing, Was Unprofessional Unrightons did not lesten Well, and Ruled Against my Constitutional Rights several times to favor either my forced Counsel on States Attorney several times allowing purgened testimony to tain the Junier decissions on

several issues that at will prove with verified documentation I transcripts discovery RTZ. from trial).

Exem my understanding the Judges duties are to be a mediator between the DA and Myself ordefense Counsel to make sure the LAW is followed by both when supplying evidence for the Judge to deliberate on at trial. Not actually to Judge me on charges or verdict for Jury TRIAL. The Jung is there to place Judgement happfully and factual evidence brought about in trial. This being the case the burden for the appointed Judge is shifted in trial and before to fairly

mediate counsels and make sure the Accused (myself) is rightfully Afforded his Constitutional Rights As well as Nake sure the Evidence (information) presented is done so without Fraudulent or tainted information being allowed to his (states) knowledge. He is to uphold the laws and rules of A Fair "trial unbusly And truthfully which Judge just refused to do and it Blatantly shows time after time with the Eridence (Transcerps) I will supply to you, EXAMPLE #1 TRANSCRIPTS from Pages He violetes my Right to Represent myself for According to him el refused transport For A morning meeting with my Advanced and PI stheduled for , And Juny selection for I made second transport for . picking of Juny or actual first trial arrangement. According to caselon and Rules of Court the Judge cannot Revoke my Constitutional Right to present evidence question witnesses Etc. in my own proceedings for this Reason. OH I forget I was Actually being whieldwined to court for a back injury that it informed Judge has the Reison for the delay. Since the morning meeting was not a formal TRIAL "requirement Judge Was meaned for foreing Counselon me at that TIME?

Example #2 I WROTE A NOTE Explaining that the CRUSS Exam, done by my forced lawyer was incorrect, missleding, and prejudiced my case by providing Fraudilent Scenereo's that didn't hyppen and Discovery Evidence supplied to forced awasel would prove so to the Juny, (TRANSCRIPTS & torced counsel was questioning my a defendant. He questions nearrestly at to what date she returned from Elsewere and also

when the alleged victors was with as cot Hotel. The inductioned dates are he and which according to Pages codefendant was at

Said Hotel these days, According to Discovery Co defendant Returned on the Not on And said Victor was there, Also Co defendant sected Victor was not at Hotel prior to her leaving dup prior, Way wrong and misslending. Since blen was Cross Examining at the time instead of interupt the court, I noted from he was misinforming the Jeny on this cufe through this witness. I wrote a note to Judge At This exact moment (I) Expecting Judge to address the importance of my concerns instead he scalded me in court, this abuse Scared me for I now realized I had been Swindled by an unfair, bias, Power Hungry Judge that doesn't care about the TRUTH" being presented. Judge read note yet would not discuss it or allow me to on record.

Example # 3 I Bring to the Judges Attention that in feet during the direct Examination of alogal victors the DA and victors ARE USING pergened testimony and my lawyer will not use Discovery into to Referte this. Basically at bring to Judges Attention of this which he is supposed to realize that once he is aware of Puryery he Should investigate and not allow this info on record. Instead he once again scolds and blanes me that its my fault ald out represent myself. (P) Self Reg cont the assue here Fraudulet testimony and my right to a competent defense is. All this is averlooked because the Judge his made Some that he troth and any fairness for a defense is now unatainable due to me not being allowed to personally effect the record, Busically with me out of the way the DA, Judge, and Forced Counsel can now Control the Record and Wordy is the Wiser. SO WOW YOU People know. Will you do Something about it. Rightous And Fair are NOT words

to despribe AT All-His Responsibilities Lie with the responsibilities are with the responsibilities are with the responsibilities are with the responsibilities are with the responsibilities of which just doesn't all the responsibilities are with the responsibilities are responsibilities are responsibilities are responsibilities are responsibilities. Example #4 To show how Unnghotas and just blatantly dishoned Judge IS I will enclose this example. This is page and of my Rule 32 decision. Here's how he answers some very serious onto d provide to him in Rule 32. Page. #1 this Judge is full of Shit. ON. Il motioned my witness lest with witnesses. I , MyPI continued proper Addresses after locating them, all that remained was to Sitpuena since I had already Trateritized , Voa telephone at fail. Instead of doing this my faced hawyer wish call either of these witnesses until days after trial comerces and claims he leaves message for Also sent to Judge was botorized statements of his Potential testimony that Veither the cops Nor the DA managed to get prior to trial elts However to me that these people blever intended to provide a fair trial by way of defense witnesses. Now please understand that while incarcerated in fail and Pro-per el called twice to confirm hith his trational in the proper of alled to the proper of alled the proper of called the proper of called the proper of the proper of the proper of the proper of the people of the proper of the people of the proper of the people of the proper of the proper of the people of the people of the proper of the people of the peopl both his testimeny as well as his availability to testify. He replied " A few weeks later the fired so, nobody informs or even tries to inform of When court is so the case went on without any Defense Witnesses.
While in Prison el located and have a lotorized Statement sent to me in Prison to Show the Judge his potential evidence. So Il vel no problem fending these people from Jail and also Prison yet the Judge States aldo. So why do these assigned representatives of the Judicial system get away with not calling Properly located without on my behalf?

Judge that in fact the information provided to the Jusy was false and my lawyer and PA covered it up anyway. Also certain witnesses statements show that there was evidence Refetting the Victors lies. We show certain Evidence which show certain dates that prove the trial testimony was botched on certain dates by forced lawyer himself and much more and yet the Judge States!

He complete Billshit!

Ht 3 States in the absence of facts to support claims. So il

guess the Judge just ignored my factual exhibits showing: Ex#

phone records as to when critical exhibits showing that exhibit the video of victom shows her statements to police change

muce on stand. Exhibit the my witness list shows witnesses names

plus Addresses that Judge says!

Exhibit the shows my Pl locates potential witnesses for defense.

Ex #32 witness statements from.

Notorized by my Pl

This is the witness that the state Wor my forced Attorney Didn't locate

yet once again al did once in Presen on my first phone call

This is the witness that the State Wor my forced Afformey Drant locate yet once again al did once in Prison on my first shone call to him And have his statements to show that in fact Alleged without what arrive at my hotel until days ofter indictment of Alexed crimes.

During the Rule 32 brief al show exactly to the Judge The mistakes and TRUTH as to the lies that were Neccissary to cause this Enjustice and get years for crimes all didn't commit.

This isn't all of the transcrips or into or facts

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.