

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-497

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 14, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal decisions and bias by a justice of the peace hearing a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 14, 2023.

Translator's Note:

[Punctuation added is the translator's interpretation of where it should go, but the correct punctuation and its placement are sometimes ambiguous.]

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

I'm going to explain the best I can and I'm sending documents to better describe my complaint against . Judge .

1. The first court date, , Judge told us, "
2. The second court date: On , we simplified the documents. The verdict in favor of the *plaintiff*, , " . The total cost of was paid on .
3. On , Judge did not specify the order of the verdict and the *defendant* did not deliver the vehicle. *Plaintiff* continued to defend her case.
4. The third court date, : Judge said again, "
5. On , *plaintiff* presented documents as evidence and witnesses, including questioned *plaintiff* about the document from Motor Vehicles because it wasn't on letterhead and it wasn't sealed. The *plaintiff* answered, " *Plaintiff* went immediately to the Office of Motor Vehicles to get the document sealed. *Plaintiff* filed this document "in court immediately."
6. Judge , incredible decision on . *Defendant* presented no document to defend his case or any witnesses. He spoke without proving anything with documents. Verdict *defendant*.
7. *Defendant* said in court that he had in his shop a machine to do an emissions test in his shop. Judge did not ask the *defendant* to show documents of that machine and the permission to use that machine in his shop. The *defendant* should have had all of this before . Judge did not ask the *defendant* to prove that he had this emissions test machine.

8. The *defendant* presented in court . He had witnesses that he didn't present in court on . On , the *defendant* presented as evidence in court a receipt for repairs to a car. The is a car. *Plaintiff* demonstrates the irregularities.

9. *Plaintiff* shows that Judge did not use equal treatment. He did not require documents from the *defendant* about what he talked about he had [sic] in court on .

Explanation: The vehicle was taken to [illegible] , *defendant's* shop on so that they would take it to pass the emissions test to Motor Vehicles. *Defendant* said he took it times to Motor Vehicles and it did not pass the emissions test. When the *defendant* responded to *plaintiff's* action on , he did not file any document to show he had a machine in his shop to do the emissions test. He never "said."

10. "Important." *Plaintiff* has not stopped defending her case. months ago or more, the *defendant* did not defend case [illegible]. *Plaintiff*, it seems I am defending my case from Judge and not the *defendant*, .

11. As far as the *plaintiff* understands, it's called negligence, abuse of authority and discrimination for being a -year-old of heritage. The *defendant* deceived and lied to the *plaintiff*. "The documents speak."

12. *Plaintiff*: If you have any questions, call me or write. My sincere thanks.

[signature]