

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-499

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 14, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge allowed a witness to give false testimony during a civil trial.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 14, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2022 - 499****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge _____ blatantly allowed _____ to lie -- the witness stand. On Day _____ of the trial, _____ was asked if a _____ ballot image was being placed on _____ paper? _____ replied "_____. Then asked, _____ replied again "_____."

_____ This is **WORD FOR WORD WHAT** **SAID** _____
under OATH.

The next day _____ testifies again under oath, but **NOW** all of a sudden instead of saying there was **NO** WAY we had a _____ image, today _____ said _____ and _____ is just going to ignore all of this and pretend he didn't hear it?

If a _____ image **DOES NOT** exist how did they find _____ ballots **AT ALL** LOCATIONS THAT THEY LOOKED INTO? Even if they had a so-called "fit to print" size, the ballot paper was _____ paper **AND IF** the image was a _____ image, it **WOULD NOT CHANGE THE SIZE** because it was the correct size paper. It's not going to shrink the size of a correct _____ image on the correct size paper! This alone as the expert said had to have been done intentionally. Judge _____ blatantly ignored this. The fact that I even have to be pointing this out and filling out this form is insane!

Judge _____ should not ever be allowed in a courtroom again, if he can not pay attention to details and witnesses lying under oath.

When we go to **VOTE** this stuff should not be allowed to happen. **NO ONE** should be having the opportunity to adjudicate my ballot because someone allowed the ballot image size to change, so it would not be read properly on the machine. When someone adjudicates my ballot that is not me voting, that is someone else voting for me. This Judge did not even bring this up. This is a horrible injustice to the American people. **AZ Constitution article 2 section 21 has been violated**

Let's move on to **NO CHAIN OF CUSTODY** on election day. The fact that **NO ONE** has produced the chain of custody paperwork from election day is highly suspicious. That paperwork should be easily obtained. Someone is **intentionally hiding evidence**. The same as when they asked to see the original ballots to match with the adjudicated one, they said it would take a week to find them. **WHAT and WHY** are these ballots not kept together to be easily reviewed, unless you intentionally have something to hide. Again the evidence was kept from being seen. It was blatantly obvious that chain of custody was not followed on election day and they are hiding all the paper work that would prove it.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Finally, WHY did judge _____ allow _____ to testify via ZOOM when he was able to testify in person in on the witness stand in the courtroom? It was very evident that _____ was READING from a script and the judge just allowed this charade to go on. This complete farce was a disgrace to our judicial system and the fact that _____ allowed this to happen is disgusting.

As one of the People, I am disgusted with this judge's blatant disrespect for our justice system. He took an oath to uphold the LAW. _____ LIED on the witness stand and that was intentional and this judge allowed that to happen. _____ was on a ZOOM call WHY?

Then _____ always sanctions? He allows a witness to lie under oath and ignores all the expert testimony that proves that a _____ imaege should never have been found unless intentional. Rules against _____ after a witness lies and then allows sanctions. This is horrific.

He should be removed from our judicial system if he is going to rule against cases that allow people to lie under oath. If they lied about the ballot size, what else are they lying about?

I do not want any judge working in our courts that will allow this kind of mockery in our judicial system. If I had been on a jury panel, I could not have let _____ blatantly lie. He said he NEVER heard of this happening. But the next day all of sudden he knows all about it happening and it's happened before. AND THEN THEY ALLOWED IT TO HAPPEN AGAIN??? Allowing it to happen again would be intentional. Then when asked if he had any reports or records about it it happening he said, I don't think we do. WAS _____ SLEEPING DURING THE TRIAL??

Arizona Laws 38-503. Conflict of interest has been violated by