State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-500

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper and late rulings in a criminal post-conviction relief case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

After review, the Commission found the judge issued a substantially delayed ruling. The judge's conduct violated Rules 1.1 and 2.5(A) of the Code of Judicial Conduct, along with Art. 2, §11, and Art. 6, §21 of the Arizona Constitution. Respondent's conduct also violated §12-128.01, A.R.S., relating to payroll and certifications of compliance. The Scope Section of the Code provides that not every transgression will result in the imposition of discipline. The Commission decided, after considering all the facts and circumstances, to dismiss the Complaint pursuant to Commission Rules 16(b) and 23(a), but to issue a warning letter to the judicial officer reminding of the obligation to issue timely rulings.

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: February 20, 2024

FOR THE COMMISSION

<u>/s/ Christopher P. Staring</u> Hon. Christopher P. Staring Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-500

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

48

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe m your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

to adaquately REVIEW en Judge CLOUM -see- Atta Bar poseous \mathbf{a} err n ANON Jain 11 or cl enco stor 1100 nad ð 55 ottown 196 00. e seen as SSIVE ena \sim sperick Court a 4ttcr Carl serra NYTH ** 20 H P or \mathbf{c}

2

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

4.

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

. _ - ...

-

Commercia MAS s age đо 1 10



Ն

Juror Misconduct EXHIBITS

HONORABLE

v.

RULE 32 PROCEEDING DISMISSED

Pending before the Court are Defendant's Notice Requesting Post-Conviction Relief, Petition for Post-Conviction Relief, and "Notice for Post-Conviction Relief for Newly Discovered Evidence of Possible Juror Misconduct Dated ," all filed on These filings comprise Defendant's third Rule 32 proceeding. It is successive.

ł

A jury found Defendant guilty of four counts of manslaughter, all class 2 dangerous felonies; five counts of aggravated assault, all class 3 dangerous felonies; and four counts of endangerment, including one class 6 dangerous felony and three class 1 misdemeanors. On

this Court entered judgment and sentenced Defendant to four concurrent terms of imprisonment for the manslaughter counts to be followed by concurrent terms of imprisonment and jail terms for the remaining counts. The Arizona Court of Appeals affirmed the convictions and sentences on direct appeal, issuing its order and mandate on

(mem. filed). His previous Rule

32 proceedings were unsuccessful.

A. Rule 32.4(b)(3)(D) Claim

In his current submission, Defendant claims this Rule 32 proceeding is untimely but that circumstance is not due to fault on his part. (Notice at 2; Petition at 3) This claim arises under Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.4(b)(3)(D). According to Defendant, relevant evidence was not discovered

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE REMAINDER OF THE COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER, PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND REFERENCE THE COMMISSION CASE NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.