

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 23-003

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

April 5, 2023

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace (now retired) made improper and biased rulings when hearing a petition for injunction against harassment.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 5, 2023.

**2023-003**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I apologize in advance, but I'm gonna try to keep this brief. This experience was traumatic and reliving it is incredibly upsetting and destructive to my mental health... I just need to be done with it. I know how it looks, but I'm filing this complaint in the same envelope as my complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ with the Superior Court, as they both overlap. I was a defendant in an injunction against harassment case in the \_\_\_\_\_ Here is a summary of my main complaints against Judge \_\_\_\_\_

- He granted the plaintiff a favorable judgment despite the fact that I proved in court she was committing perjury. He admitted there were discrepancies and literally witnessed her commit a felony in real-time and did nothing about it. She backtracked several statements under oath and immediately engaged in outbursts when I revealed evidence she didn't know I had (audio recording of a visit to the door and videos of me checking the mailbox). When you prove someone is lying in a sworn statement and under oath to a judge's face, then they should lose all credibility, but he was biased toward the Plaintiff throughout the hearing despite the facts. The \_\_\_\_\_ County Sheriff's Office is finally investigating it as potential Perjury and Evidence Tampering (Sergeant \_\_\_\_\_ case # \_\_\_\_\_)
- He refused to accept many pieces of evidence that I tried to submit that were related to my character and proof that I was an upstanding citizen and an excellent \_\_\_\_\_ He also refused to accept proof that the Plaintiff fabricated a story about me being fired in \_\_\_\_\_ for making my coworkers afraid of me and my firearms, a medical record of my disability, and that I had been targeted by a \_\_\_\_\_ PD officer who violated the Privacy Act by manipulating a \_\_\_\_\_ contractor into releasing a sensitive document without the government's permission. Yet, I handed all these documents to the Plaintiff in court.
- I presented evidence to support my claims, but the plaintiff offered nothing but lies and irrelevant stories. She even claimed to have included a log of her checking the mail with petition, but it wasn't there, and she never produced it during the hearing. I believe that regardless of the facts, he was determined to side with the Plaintiff and that he sees tenants (especially with disabilities) as subhuman.
- He violated my constitutional right to own firearms simply because I was upset (as anyone would be) about housing discrimination, an illegal eviction and subsequent retaliation, and lies so numerous and overwhelming that they're seemingly pathological. Not once did I make a threat of violence or even so much as use a swear word in the entire time the Plaintiff was ruining my life and causing me to have anxiety attacks and suicidal thoughts. I don't think he feared for her safety but for his, in the same way a racist might double check their car after a black person walks by. I say this because I saw him outside the court one day on a trip to the law library, and I believe he recognized me and looked very uncomfortable or fearful. That's what mental health discrimination is... they call you crazy and then imagine all the ways in which you might harm them, and think thoughts like people with mental health disabilities shouldn't have guns. It's especially ironic for me, because I just have generalized anxiety disorder, which is the most common disorder in the US.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Little snippet from MentalHealth.gov:

Myth: People with mental health problems are violent and unpredictable.

Fact: The vast majority of people with mental health problems are no more likely to be violent than anyone else. Most people with mental illness are not violent and only 3%–5% of violent acts can be attributed to individuals living with a serious mental illness. In fact, people with severe mental illnesses are over 10 times more likely to be victims of violent crime than the general population. You probably know someone with a mental health problem and don't even realize it, because many people with mental health problems are highly active and productive members of our communities.

I included the following pieces of evidence to support my complaint:

- A document submitted at in court titled Reasons for Dismissal of the Injunction Against Harassment
- The documents Judge \_\_\_\_\_ refused to admit as evidence, which proved I was telling the truth
- The Appellant's Memorandum submitted to appeal Judge \_\_\_\_\_ decision, which outlines the issues

Note: I'm a very particular person and excessively honest. In fact, that's why we're here. You'll find only truthful statements and real evidence to support my claims. Statements from the evidence are in **quotes and bold**, and my supporting evidence is **red and bold**.

## Reasons for Dismissal of the Injunction Against Harassment

1. The plaintiff has committed perjury by making false, misleading, and hyperbolic statements with the intent to deceive the court. The injunction is rife with false statements and her disregard for facts and the truth are quite alarming. Also, relevant text messages are missing or blank (and photos), as you can see from the evidence she submitted. I suspect she did this intentionally to deceive the court.
2. The injunction itself is a form of disability discrimination and the primary piece of evidence for the housing discrimination investigation that is currently being conducted by the
3. The entirety of my interactions with the plaintiff centered around issues with the cottage I was renting and her retaliation for filing a housing discrimination complaint against her (i.e. mail, laundry, water heater, internet). Since I have been evicted, I have no reason to contact her, and therefore this injunction is pointless.
4. The plaintiff chose this situation. I offered to get " " and talk it out and she refused. She decided that what little she knew about me was enough and the truth didn't matter. She is a callous and dishonest person who makes her tenants uncomfortable, and who evicted her previous tenant of the cottage, despite the fact that she was a family friend.
5. I am no criminal. I am a high performing with a GPA , a an and a ( ) and I reliably pay my rent, keep to myself, and I'm an ideal tenant that any property owner would be happy to rent to. Yet, the plaintiff evicted me because I have and have faced past discrimination because of it.

A signed version of this document was presented to Judge on at the hearing. -

## Evidence of Perjury by the Plaintiff

1. The plaintiff claims that my mail was placed in the unlocked mailbox “ ” I have **video evidence** that shows there were several delivery days where no one checked the locked mailbox. My videos from at and at are great examples. I maintain that delaying the mail should be a criminal offense. Also, being able to receive your mail on the day it is delivered becomes pretty important when you have legal matters such as this and your landlady has shown she is untrustworthy.
2. The plaintiff claims that I “ ” on her door. The plaintiff exaggerates. I did not think to look for the doorbell in the dark, but I knew her door is heavy and solid wood. so I gave it a good knock. She also stated “ ” This is an exaggeration and also false, as I politely and calmly asked for “ ” I never said anything about her attorney, and there is a **recording** of this interaction.
3. The plaintiff stated “ ” This is completely false. Since when she told me via text that I was being evicted, all our interactions have been via text or phone. There is a **recording** of every phone call and I never said “ ” in any of them. However, I did say via text on (evidence p. 2): “ ”
4. The plaintiff said I called her “ ’ Listen to the **recordings**. I’ve been upset and raised my voice a bit, but nothing any honest person could call “ ” Being upset is a perfectly normal response to an incompetent landlady engaging in housing discrimination and retaliation.
5. The plaintiff stated that I (or he) was “ ” The plaintiff has an extremely abrasive personality that requires a lot of tiptoeing. She is not open to external opinions and does like people contradicting her. She admits that she raised the rent on me for leaving the “ ’ and threatened to raise it if I “ ” which is certainly immoral during a pandemic and possibly illegal. The ironic thing about the fridge is that it's basically her fault because she wedged it in between two cabinets while working on the floors, and the fridge can rotate slightly and interfere with the door closing. Also, it's important to maintain peace at home and I didn't feel like I could raise issues with the property. She even admitted that I “ ” When she threatened to evict me, I no longer had to tiptoe around her, and I reported the safety issues of flammable materials cluttered around the cottage that I feel are unsafe in a wildfire prone area. Also, there is only one fire alarm in the residence that she made me install myself, my unfinished 'kitchen' is also her storage unit, and that if I have to leave out the west door in an emergency, her violent dog will attack me (again). Also, I have **evidence** that the water heater issue was real and she refused to fix it. She

also stated via text “

’ While I didn’t specifically complain about how the plaintiff constructs fake walls out of insulation, she clearly wouldn’t be in trouble with the county if my accusations were “ ” The county ignored my request for a copy of that inspection report, but I’ll make sure the discrimination investigator gets one and I suggest you do the same. Also, you will find no evidence that I threatened to call her workplace. I said that I might contact the “ ’ about discrimination and later corrected that statement by mentioning that I learned housing discrimination is supposed to be reported to the “ ”

6. The plaintiff stated “

” This statement is not only false, it is the very definition of mental health discrimination. I thought I could trust the plaintiff as a as they deal with people who have and , but she has shown extreme prejudice and ignorance. The plaintiff took factual information I gave her and twisted it in a way to make me seem more dangerous and justify her unlawful eviction. I told her about how, in the government discriminated against me, and I was forced to file an EEO complaint because of how I was treated ( ). The actual story was about how I was struggling with at work and because of this someone stated to my supervisor that I might bring a gun to work. This is a workplace shooter stereotype, where people fantasize that their crazy and dangerous coworker might go on a shooting spree like they’ve seen on the news. My coworkers didn’t know I owned guns (2 hunting rifles), and they weren’t in my possession ( ) at the time because they were kept in a former roommate’s safe ( ) for all of (The plaintiff knows this.) Also, I wasn’t fired from that job. Look at my and . My termination code is “ ’ which means I was terminated because my position was seasonal and temporary. Also, I received an “ ” rating on my **Performance Appraisal** from my supervisor, Feel free to ask him about me, as he knows everything. His contact information is on my **Resume**. I would not be currently employed by the if the plaintiff’s statement was factual.

7. The plaintiff claims that I was facing “ ” Yet, she knows that I told her the charge was false and that I believe the officer targeted me because of my unusual disability and had actually committed a federal misdemeanor Privacy Act violation in pursuit of these false charges. I specifically told her it made no sense, as I never had an in-person interaction with anyone in County and my firearms were in a safe in at the time ( ). The charges were sealed and have only recently been revealed. I can state unequivocally that there is no weapons charge and there never was one, and no one can explain it to me. Also, the actual charges will be dismissed, not just because I’m innocent, but because the charging officer committed a federal crime. See the **Police Report**. Also, the plaintiff’s statement that “

’ This is

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**