

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-006

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

November 27, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a justice of the peace hearing an eviction case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 27, 2023.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Cc:

Subject: RE: Complaint Charge /Seeking Immediate investigation and sanctions

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you , I will do as instructed, I appreciate the follow up. And I will cut and past the complaint and only include the statements regarding

Judge and not any other individual's misconduct.

ON [REDACTED] I APPEARED BEFORE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS TO AN EVICTION COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST ME. WHEN MY DOCKET WAS CALLED, I ADVISED I WAS APPEARING TELEPHONIC AND PRO SE, WHEN JUDGE [REDACTED] ASKED IF I AGREED WITH THE CLAIM FILED AGAINST ME, I STATED I DID NOT AGREE. WITH THAT BEING SAID AND RECORDED FOR THE COURT, JUDGE [REDACTED] SAID SHE WOULD SET THIS CASE FOR TRIAL AND BEGAN TO RAMMER OFF A LIST OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDURAL STEPS AND DEADLINES FOR THAT INFO TO BE INTO THE COURT TO BE CONSIDERED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVED FOR EVIDENCE PRIOR TO TRIAL DATE. TRIAL WAS SET FOR [REDACTED]. JUDGE [REDACTED] ALSO STATED THAT I WOULD OR SHOULD BE RECIEVING NOTICE OF THIS UPCOMING TRIAL IN MY EMAIL AND IF I DID NOT RECIEVE ANY INFO VIA EMAIL TO CONTACT THE COURT ([REDACTED] COURT) THE FOLLOWING DATE FOR UPDATE INFO. NOW WITH THAT BEING SAID: I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO INCLUDE THAT DURING THAT TELEPHONIC HEARING IT IS CLEAR THAT I WAS VERY SICK, I BARELY HAD A VOICE AND WAS COUGHING, I EVEN MADE A STATEMENT THAT I APOLOGIZE IF I REPEAT MY SELF HOWEVER I AM CURRENTLY BATTLING SOME KIND OF [REDACTED] OR [REDACTED]; ONCE MY HEARING WAS COMPLETED, I ENDED UP IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM AT [REDACTED] ON [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED], AND WAS ADMITTED DUE TO RESPIRORY COMPLICATIONS WICH TURNED OUT TO BE WALKING [REDACTED] AND DID TEST POSITIVE FOR [REDACTED]. I WAS IN THE HOSPITAL FROM [REDACTED]

. PER THE JUDGES DIRECTIONS, ON I HAD MY DAUGHTER CALL THE COURTS AND I WAS ON THE LINE AND WE ASKED FOR UPDATED INFO AS NOTHING HAD BEEN RECIEVED IN MY EMAIL WHICH I PROVIDED MULTIPLE TIMES TO THE COURT ON ; SPOKE WITH A COURT REP AND HE INDICATED THAT I WOULD HAVE TO WAIT FOR INFORMATION TO BE UPDATED AND PROVIDED. THAT WAS . FROM I WAS QUITE SICK IN AND OUT OF CONCIIOUSNESS AND BEING TREATED FOR . I WAS UNABLE TO TO PHYSCALLY PEFORM THE REQUIRED TASKS OF PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS AS NEEDED PRIOR TO THE HEARING. ALSO I WASNT EVEN SURE IF I WOULD OF BEEN RELEASED TO APPEAR IN PERSON (JUDGE STATED IT WAS REQUIRED) BY I WAS FEELING WELL ENOUGH TO REACH OUT VIA ONLINE EMAIL TO THE COURTS AND ADVISED OF ALL THAT I HAVE DETAILED IN THIS COMPLAINT. I ASKED IF POSSIBLE JUDGE WOULD RESCHEDULE THE TRIAL FOR AT MINIMUM A WEEK , SO AS TO ALLOW FOR ME TO BE RELEASED FROM THE HOSPITAL AND GET ALL NECESSARY EXHIBITS IN AND SO ON. THAT AFTERNOON UPON MY RELEASE OF THE HOSPITAL I CALLED THE COURTS AND SPOKE WITH A WOMAN WHO ADVISED THAT JUDGE DID IN FACT RECIEVE MY EMAIL, BUT HAD NOT RULED ON MY REQUEST AS OF YET) TO BE EXACT AND THAT THE ONLY ADVISE SHE COULD OFFER IS TO CALL AGAIN AT THE FOLLOWING DAY) AND HOPEFULLY THERE WOULD BE AN ANSWER. MIND YOU I AM STILL BATTLING RESPITORY COMPLICATIONS AND HAD BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH . WHICH I RELAYED IN WRITING AND VERBALLY. NOW , CALLED COURTS, COURT REP ANSERS NO INFO ON ANY RULING FROM MY PREVIOUS EMAIL THAT JUDGE RECIEVED, THE HEARING IS STILL FOR THAT DAY. IN PERSON . I TOLD THE CLERK I HAVE AND CANT APPEAR IN PERSON

CONT. AND I WAS TOLD THERE IS NOTHING MORE THEY CAN ADVISED, THEY WOULD LET THE JUDGE KNOW I CALLED AND POSSIBLY WOULD HAVE A CALLIN PHONE NUMBER FOR ME AND TO CALL BACK. I CALLED BACK , NO PH AVAILABLE. AND IT IS AGAIN EXPLAINED THAT THIS WAS IN PERSON HEARING ONLY. NOW THAT I HAVE EXPLAINED IN FULL DETAIL THE SITUATION. THE MISCONDUCT I AM WANTING TO BE REVIED IS THAT OF FAILURE TO ADDRESS THE RELAYED MEDICAL AND POSSIBLY DEADLY DIAGONISIS OF AND , AND THE FACT THAT JUDGE WAS CONFIRMED TO HAVE RECIEVED THIS INFORMATION HOURS PRIOR TO THE HEARING AND DID NOT ACT OR SHOW ANY EFFORT TO PROVIDE A REASONABLE ACCOMADATION AND FAILED TO PROVIDE WITH A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL HEARING AS SHE RULED IN PLAINTIFFS FAIVOR KNOWING FULL WELL I WOULDL NOT BE IN PERSON . AND IT LEADS ME TO BELEIVE HER INTENT WAS GOING TO RULE AGAINST ME EITHER WAY. BIAS TOWARDS THE PLAINTFFS ATTNY VS. SHOWING DUTY OF CARE AND JUDICIAL RESPONSIBLITY AND SAFETY FOR HER COURT ROOM AND STAFF BECAUSE IT WAS DOCUEMENTED WRITTEN AND VERBALLY OF THE DIAGNOSIS. PLEASE ADDRESS THIS COMPLAINT AND TAKE THIS MATTER VERY SERIOUSLY BECAUSE IT IS A COMPLETE FAILURE OF OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM, IF WE FOLLOW THE DIRECTIONS TO COMMUNICATE AND OFFER THE SUPPORTING INFORMATION OF ANY AND ALL SITUATIONS THEY GET OUTRIGHT IGNORED AND ITS TOO BAD SO SAD FOR YOU. I WAS NOT GIVEN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL. NO INFORMATION WAS EVER EMAILED, MAILED OR SERVED TO ME AS OF . AND NOW MY CONCERNS IS THERE WILL BE A CONSTABLE AT MY DOOR DEMANDING I LEAVE EVEN THOUGH THE EVICTION ITSELF IS UNLAWFUL AND I AM CONTESTING THE ENTIRE MATTER. I UNDERSTAND YOU DONT CHAGNE VENUES OR JUDGES OR OVERTURN RULINGS. BUT I DO HOPE YOU TAKE THESE TYPES OF COMPLAINTS SERIOUSLY AND PROVIDE FURTHER TRAINING

AND UP TO NECESSARY DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS BECAUSE JUST BECAUSE YOUR A JDUGE IT DOES NOT GIVE YOU THE RIGHT TO NOT FLOW PROCUDERES IF YOU IN FACT ARE SETTING THOSE EXPECTATIONS FOR ALL WHO APPEAR IN YOUR COURT ROOM.

ON A Constable, showed up with what he claims is a writ of execution and physically threw me out of my residence, upon checking the Courts there was no other motion put in entering a writ.

But I would like to have that added into this complaint, that each motion I put I for appeal, motion to vacate, motion for continuance , etc. Judge has denied, and now I am homeless without my life sustaining medication, My drivers license ID, and no access to my clothing, or basic hygiene my dogs are homeless with me too.

I hope this helps clarify what I am needing.

Thanks