

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-031

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 21, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner ordered an excessive sentence and demonstrated gender bias in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 21, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-031

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [REDACTED]

Judge's Name [REDACTED]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The judge in my nephew, [REDACTED] case did not review or consider one peice of evidence or character letters on behalf of my nephew. The judge also did not allow anyone but the supposed victim to speak. She appeared to have a strong bias in favor of [REDACTED] This resulted in a horrific miscarriage of justice.

[REDACTED] perjured herself on the stand numerous times to which we have solid proof. This judge refused to review or hear any of this.

This is the grosest incompetence of a judicial official.

She, furthermore, jumped to the most excessive sentence I can possibly think of for this case.

When all of the, actual, facts and history of this case are reviewed I fully believe that, not only, would [REDACTED] be exhonored but [REDACTED] would be the one sitting in jail.

I Thank You for your time and consideration in this matter.

This "commisioner" is not fit to sit on a stand and decide the fate of a man when she clearly has "female" bias. Perhaps due to her own past but that is between her and God.