

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-045

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 8, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper legal rulings and demonstrated gender bias in a family court case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 8, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-045

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I recently submitted a petition to the court and was following AZ state law regarding the year time period allowed, and required to modify parenting time as well as legal decision making. However, with a preponderance of evidence demonstrating changes of circumstances, Judge choose to violate state law enacted by the legislature for family courts and deny my petition to modify parenting time, legal decision making and child support in a minute Entry. She did this even though my petition had all the necessary requirements and merits to be seen by the court.

However in a blatant discriminatory MISANDRY ruling(minute entry), she choose to deny all parts of my petition which included many important facts about change in circumstances. Some of the many circumstances include where an older son will be changing from elementary to middle school this year. Also including the changes where both sons clearly need more father time and a role model around them in their development from boys, into teenagers and young men and these vital ages for them. Every professional attorney who practices family law and has read this case, has noted that most all other cases go to court with far less change of circumstances than what this petition had.

All studies show that children, especially boys have many more problems in life without a father present or like in this case when they are with the father only 16% of the time. As the current order stands, the father(myself) is only able to see his boys every other weekend. I try to be very active with my boys (medically, educational, and extracurricular) however after a terrible ruling in , by judge I had my custody time reduced and have been actively trying everything possible in the courts and appeals to correct her mistake.

All studies unanimously show that children without the father or reduced fathers time are
63% higher youth suicide(US Dept. of Health Census).
90% of homeless and runaway children(32 times the average)
85% of behaviour disorders(center for disease control)
80% of rapists with anger problems(Justice and Behavior)
71% of high school dropouts (National Principals association)

Children with fathers who are involved are 40% less likely to repeat a grade.
Children with fathers who are involved are 70% less likely to drop out of school
Children with fathers who are involved are more likely to enjoy school and engage in extracurricular.
75% of adolescent patients in chemical abuse centers are from fatherless home or ones not involved.

This petition had an enormous amount of changes in circumstances, yet the ruling by Judge was a clear violation of Arizona state law and a disgrace for all tax paying citizens who pay her salary. This gross injustice by minute entry ruling was discriminatory MISANDRY, she should be removed from her position on the bench, and is unfit to be impartial with any rulings.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:**Judge's Name:**

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Why **Involved Dads** are **Good for Moms**

Mothers reap a host of benefits
when fathers are involved
during pregnancy and in
raising their children.



- ✔ More likely to receive prenatal care
- ✔ Less likely to smoke during pregnancy
- ✔ Healthier births
- ✔ Lower risk of post-partum stress
- ✔ Lower risk of post-partum depression
- ✔ Lower parenting stress
- ✔ More leisure time
- ✔ Higher marital satisfaction

**National
Fatherhood
Initiative®**

www.fatherhood.org

Source: National Fatherhood Initiative® 2019.
Father Facts: Eighth Edition. Germantown, MD:
National Fatherhood Initiative®.

Subject: Submission Delivered

Date:

From:

External ()

[Report This Email](#) [FAQ](#) [GoDaddy Advanced Email Security, Powered by INKY](#)

Dear :

This email verifies the receipt of 1 document submitted by you to

IMPORTANT: Except for the in County and County, if you have a Guardianship case, and a filing fee is normally assessed for the document filed, you must make payment. Your submission will not be accepted until payment has been made.

Case #:

Case Style:

Document Title: Response Reply/Response

Matter #:

Memo:

Total Filing Fee: \$0.00

Total Application Fee: \$

eService Fee: \$0.00

3% Payment Processing Fee: \$

Total Fee: \$

Paid By: Pay By Credit/Debit Card

Total Paid: \$

Receipt #:

EFSP Filing ID:

EFM Submission ID:

You will receive a follow-up email when your filing has been docketed with the Clerk.

This is a non-monitored email. Do not reply directly to it. If you have any questions about this filing, please contact the Clerk's Office by email at [clerk@azcourts.gov](#) or call [\(602\) 417-3000](#) or [\(602\) 417-3001](#).

Thank you,
Arizona eCourt Services

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Attorneys for Petitioner,

**IN THE COURT FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF**

In re the Matter of:)	Case No.:
)	
)	
)	REPLY TO RESPONDENT’S RESPONSE
)	TO PETITIONER’S MOTION TO
)	DISMISS
Petitioner,)	
And)	(Assigned to the Hon.)
)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	
)	
)	

Petitioner, (“Mother”) hereby submits her Reply to
Respondent’s Response to Petitioner’s Motion to Dismiss Father’s *Verified*
Petition to Modify Parenting Time, Decision-Making and Child Support and states as follows:

I. CASE HISTORY:

Father argues that the history and background in this case is “irrelevant.”

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**