State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-046
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

September 8, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal decisions and poor demeanor by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 8, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoemx; Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-046

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:	
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own	
words what you believe the judge did that constitu	tes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the	
names, dates, times, and places that will help t	he commission understand your concerns. Additional	
pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side		
of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.		

iudae

to whom it may concern, my son saw. Judge during the time to except the Plea, and sontending. In both incidences continued to bash my Judge menace to society. Judge menace to society. Judge offen the Son prosecutor was not charging my son with because there was no proof. He is innovent until proven guilty. was not charged with the death of a main who dosed, or charged with a stoiler can. Kept referring to be on committing these crimes ultimately decided to give years because of the sations he was not the Judge he was not charged crime was drugs, non Violence. He deserved a fair sentencing for the crimes he did commit, and only is fair in eyes of the law, there was no need for the disrespect of my son. I udge does not know my son or our family thank you for reading thank you for reading this and deciding if this is fair to treat families like trash Please listen to court hearing.

Best Regards