

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-047

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 15, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings and poor demeanor by a justice of the peace hearing a petition for injunction against harassment.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 15, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023 - 047

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I have been tormented, harassed, and threatened by _____ I got an injunction against harassment granted and she was served with it last month. She decided to contest the order, denying all allegations on her that are true. So, there was a court hearing for the order I took out on her. The judge that was assigned to us, _____, acted completely unprofessional, yelling at me, insulting me, and telling me that I am lying, as she believed the lies of _____. She also allowed _____ to air out all her grievances of me (which were all false fabrications and lies), which was NOT part of my injunction against her. She wouldn't allow me the chance to speak after all the surprise and untrue allegations against me. She refused to acknowledge my witness and what my witness stated, while acknowledging and making her decision based on a witness that she brought in that witnessed absolutely no interaction between us, someone who has not been around me or her in many many months. And her testimony was acknowledged, while I was yelled at that my witness, who actually witnessed the points on my order in which this hearing was supposed to be about. Throughout the hearing, _____ yelled at me several times, insulted me, and told me I was lying, as she was very kind and attentive to _____ had all sorts of time allotted to speak and list her allegations of me (NOT sticking to the point of the hearing:my order against her), and I was given zero time to speak, to defend myself against her allegations. _____ also insisted that I was in love with _____ (completely not true), and that we were fighting over a guy. When I told her that that was not the case, I am not fighting over anyone, I just desire to be left alone, and not threatened or harassed by _____. _____ found nothing wrong with _____ behavior of threatening me, demanding money from me, and torturing me until I pay for her medical problems, so she granted her the legal right to harass and threaten me. So, apparently, according to this judge, I have no rights at all and _____ has the right to threaten, harass, and kill me if she chooses!!!! This is completely unprofessional, as the judge's behavior was throughout the hearing. I had zero chance to speak and defend myself against new allegations that _____ decided the hearing was about (as it was supposed to be regarding the order I put out on her, not what I have done wrong) As far as what I have done wrong has not been told to me, I am just being told that I no longer have the right to live my life as a free woman and _____ has the legal right to kill me.