

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-061

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 1, 2023

The complainant alleged biased and improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 1, 2023.

COMP
2023-061

in re:

To whom it may concern.

I am writing to report possible misconduct by the
Honorable _____ judge of the
Court. I believe that his actions, in collusion with the
_____ the _____ Office,

and the _____ office, are rooted in
acts of revenge in an effort to send me away to prison.

Particularly, these are the improper acts that I'm alleging:

- Improperly rejecting a signed plea agreement on
similarly situated individuals plea agreements were accepted
including _____, among others.
- Improperly advising me of my exposure at a
Hearing on _____. The exposure cited was heavily
inflated in an effort to scare me into signing a new plea offer.
- Improperly suggesting for the State to out in motion
to consolidate at the _____ Hearing.
- Improperly denying my request for new appointment of counsel
at _____ Hearing. (cont.)

- Improperly suspending Rule 8, citing calendar congestion at Hearing, after requesting a speedy trial. Trial is currently set for for case. His trial court calendar should be noted.

As I have previously asserted, these acts are improper, as they are highly prejudicial and hinders the possibility of me obtaining a fair trial, not to mention that they are all examples of abuse of discretion.

Also, as I have previously mentioned, this arises out of an effort to get revenge. In Recovery Court, I was implicated in an assault on one of Judge participants. It also involved my then-girlfriend, who is now my legal spouse. Ultimately, the matter went to trial and was dismissed on in Court. Since then, I have been constantly harrassed by

(whom also was scheduled to testify against me at the assault trial). I believe that I now have enough evidence in my possession to prove that has mishandled and planted evidence against me. I also believe that I am close to being able to prove that the the Office, and the

crime lab are secreting documents that appeared in previous discovery. And I believe this is all at the direction of the Honorable

For these reasons I ask that you look into the
conduct of the Honorable Judge .

Sincerely,