

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-068

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 12, 2023

The Complainant alleged bias and incompetence by a municipal court judge hearing an order of protection case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Scott C. Silva did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 12, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-068

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On or about _____ ad for a petition for a Order Of Protection ("Petition") in the
Municiple Court. seeking an order of Protection against
In her petition. _____ asserted, inter alia that when _____ returned from a trip out of town on
_____ was verbally abusive, that
but was all hear say and never happened all complete lies. In conclusion Commissioner _____ should
be disbarred from every ruling in any judgement in the Municple Courts Of _____ until she has
more training as a trial Judge. Her ruling has since been reversed, remanded and dismissed by the court
of Appeals, and the Superior Court of Arizona.

Unfortunately the Damage to me the defendant has already been done. I was thrown out of my house,
lost _____, and arrested for alleged violations of OOP that was ruled unjustly. The court of
appeals found Commission _____ at fault for not allowing the plaintiff and Defendant to cross examine
eachother during the contested hearing and both parties Due process rights were violated .

Unfortunately, _____ the defendant was the party negatively affected by the ruling.
_____ circumventing the normal civil divorce and child custody hearing process by concocted a
plethora of lies to have _____ removed from _____ ouse by police without due process. There are
damages that need to be assessed and the defendent will be motioning to have those damages heard.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

1

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

TIMELINE OF EVENTS:

- OOP FILED BY PLAINTIFF - GRANTED
- POLICE REMOVED DEFENDANT FROM PROPERTY
- CONTESTED HEARING HELD, COMMISSIONER
- APPEAL FILED BY DEFENDANT
- APPELLATE COURT FINDS TRIAL COURT VIOLATED DUE PROCESS RIGHTS RULING REVERSED /REMANDED
- SUPERIOR COURT DISMISSES REAMANED HEARING

RULES FOR PLAINTIFF