## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 23-082
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

August 1, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a city court judge hearing a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Denise K. Aguilar did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 1, 2023.

2023-082

Arizona Commission of Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington St. Ste 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

GRIEVANCE:

". Judge

COURT

case no.

May this communication serve as notice of grievance for the errant conduct of ", which, in such office as "judge" with the COURT for case no. (pending), does act without such granted scope of authority despite jurisdictional challenge and beyond both verbal and written notice with documentation on the public record presented to his attention.

I hereby refer this "person" and public "officer" to the Arizona Commission of Judicial Conduct as grievance for cause. I see such conduct "beyond notice" as both "willful and intentional" which a grand jury might consider and classify as "criminal".

That further trespass and damage not occur, I respectfully ask this "person's" professional oversight commission to consider that I attempted to express to officers curbside that they were acting without jurisdiction; these officers would not hear me. I attempted to express to " (judge) that I stand without court jurisdiction on ; this public servant would not hear me. " "was also presented written documentation of status on (attached) and afforded notice and opportunity to correct with specification: "Notice to principals is notice to agents; notice to agents is notice to principals."

The matter persists because " ' has apparently not considered the patrol officers with " " protective services initial error of overstepping their scope of authority in ignorance resulting in fictitious obligations without jurisdiction. The matter could have concluded upon this first consideration. With an opportunity to hear the testimony of i, , a man and living soul, " dishonors such testimony following a night of unlawful imprisonment, " presented sui juris and seems intolerant that I challenge and resist subjecting my rights to "stand-under" his presumptive authority. " "honors no publicly published document presented him, and rather than correct course, chooses to pursue further error with apparent will and intent to a questionable end beyond an initial fraudulent arrest.

With **motion to dismiss** was specified as returned service "No UCC Contract Trust and no Territorial Office" with which an educated and experience judicial officer might be expected to review, consider, and have such significance guide his actions to a different course of action. I add in the interest of further edification that which I have

communicated to the "judges" responsible for allowing such trespass to continue, I am neither "member of the district" (territorial) nor "member of the city" (municipal)", but a man, and living soul on the land and soil, where no administrative, municipal, nor territorial court holds any jurisdiction, yet, such egregious trespass continues.

Having begun with a fraudulent arrest without jurisdiction, all proceeding action is therefore void, "void ab initio", without any merit, the entire house seeming to casual observance to be a massive teetering constructive fraud. Adjudication in due course will determine the law and facts of such matters.

I affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing information and the allegations contained in the above testimony are true to the best of my knowledge.

for: by: All Righ	nts Reserved	date:
Arizona Sta	ate : County:	
before me p was proved the man wh		whose identity tisfactory evidence to be to this document, and who ove attached document.
Notary Public		



CITY ATTORNEY

3 4

IN THE

COURT

COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,		) Complaint No.:		
	Plaintiff,	) Case No.:		
VS.		) RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION ) TO DISMISS )		
	Defendant.	) )		

The State of Arizona, by and through undersigned counsel, moves the Court to summarily deny the defendant's motion to dismiss as it provides no factual or legal basis.

Ariz. R. Crim. Pro. Also, dismissal pretrial is not proper where the complaint is legally sufficient. Ariz. R. Crim. Pro. 16.4.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of

CITY ATTORNEY

Assistant City Prosecutor

1	Copy mailed/delivered this
2	day of to:
3	The Honorable
4	Court
5	
6	
7	
8	Ву:
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

COPY

State Of Arizona	1		Arrest Warra	ant	For Court Use
VS.		~~~ #.			
	Ì	Case #:			
	1	F SUBJECT IS FIN AND ENTER CODE:	ZAFIS PRINT TYPE FENSE FIELD.	04	
: ANY AUTHORIZED LAW EN	IFORCEMENT OFFICE	R.			
U ARE COMMANDED to arre	st and bring the defen	dant before this co			
e nearest or most accessible			made in another cou	inty, you must take	the defendant before t
arest or most accessible mag	gistrate in that county.				
ne defendant is accused of an	offense or violation h	ased on the follow	ing:		
ile 3.1(d) Pre-Adjudication			CH Code:		
ne straight to stage area trons	(post initial rippess	,			
ne offense(s) or violation(s) is,					
Offense Date	St	atute/Rule & Lite	ral Description		Offense Class
					Class 2 Misdemeanor
				,	Class 1 Misdemeanor Class 2 Misdemeanor
Unknown - The offense is, or	is materially related to	-	applicable offense.		
		ne		- 34 M	a company and the company and
SEX: RACE:	DOB:	HGT:	WGT:	EYES:	HAIR:
ddress:					
ourt ORI:	Warrant #:		LE Agency:		
itation #:		DR#:		Extradition: SSN (Last 4):	Purge Date:
)L#:		State:	State:		
IN:		Year:	Make:	Model:	Style:
ertify that the defendant was	arrested at			Day Year	,
na presenteu delendant belor	Judge's name	8	Court name		
ate		Agency			
		Deputy Sheriff/	Officer .	Badge #	

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.