

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-092

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 15, 2023

The complainant alleged improper legal rulings and denial of the right to be heard by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 15, 2023.

CONFIDENCIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

SÓLO PARA USO INTERNO

2023-092

TEXTO DE LA QUEJA CONTRA UN JUEZ

Nombre: _____ Nombre del juez: _____

Instrucciones: Utilice este formulario o una hoja en blanco del mismo tamaño para presentar la queja. Describa en sus palabras qué es lo que usted cree que ha hecho el juez que constituye la conducta judicial indebida. Dé información específica e incluya todos los nombres, las fechas, las horas y los lugares que ayudarán a la comisión entender sus preocupaciones. Se podrán adjuntar páginas adicionales y copias (no originales) de los documentos de su causa que sean pertinentes. Por favor no escriba en ambas caras de la hoja y quédese con una copia para sus archivos.

I Think he is an incapable judge and That he abuses his power because I have only asked him To Listen To me even if he Later does what he understands but not only did he Listen To The other party and with That he ended his case To which I wonder why he has it open if he is not going To continue with it or just To keep Listening To The other party and keep judging me. I say This because I have already presented several motions and they are all denied To me and The Latter without having given the decision yet and To my counterpart I was sure That he was not going to listen to me because he Told me That he won't Try anymore because he wasn't going to see or hear me not To waste my Time.

I only ask to be heard.