

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-094

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 8, 2023

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in an injunction against harassment case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 8, 2023.

Re: Case:

2023-094
comp

Pertaining to Documents allowed by Judge

It was known that [redacted] filed a false narrative on [redacted] pertaining to a Injunction
Against Harrassment in the [redacted] Court.

It was known that [redacted] filed a IAH with the [redacted] Office.

In the filing, she named my first name as [redacted] It was known that she and I had information through my
medical records at [redacted] Center, and prepared a wrist band with my name, date of birth,
and [redacted] in the past.

It was known that [redacted] KNEW my name was [redacted] and that she is my
neighbor. It is known that in the past, she ACCEPTED me as a friend on social media [redacted] and
there it states my first name [redacted] with the nickname " [redacted] ". It was then a falsification
for [redacted] to state that my name, birthdate, and weight wrong to the Constable.

It was known that I suffered [redacted] SEIZURES in [redacted] during the time I was standing on my own
property, and [redacted] was on my property trespassing, and I identified my health problem to
[redacted] in the past, as she spoke to me about the Homeowner's Association that was defunct.

It was then known that [redacted] on [redacted] or before, took my medical records, and
deceived me into believing that I could contact the hospital, to which during the holiday time had left a
message for [redacted], whom contacted me back on [redacted], incurring me to contact her about the
release of information that needed to be received back, but because [redacted] had an
elaborate scheme, the form could not be mailed back and medical records to be received by me, could
not due to her controlling nature. I also heard in court under Judge [redacted] that she just received her
job in Admissions, but that she still wanted to work there. In fact, this is contempt, as she has worked in
medical billing, insurance pre-authorizations, and in Rehab Departments. I simply wanted to possibly
work through [redacted] in a remote position. I stated to Judge [redacted] that I did not have my medical
records for my [redacted] appointment. They were never faxed over upon request. It took over three
attempts, and still other records were sent to [redacted] that were not pertaining to my
visit. I was thinking I could, and in court, [redacted] defamed my character using old law
records from [redacted] and [redacted] where my husband had severely abused me. [redacted] was
using a scheme that I am incompetent. I did not give permission for my records to be released.

It was known that the Judge ruled for [redacted] after I even asked to have my video cam
shown about misconduct by [redacted] where she verbally harassed my boyfriend and me.

The request was ignored. I feel that Judge [redacted] showed [redacted] favoritism and uses that hospital or knows [redacted] (was [redacted]) personally. Now I am having to fight fictitious charges. I provided the form where [redacted] violated Hipaa.

I feel that Judge [redacted] ruling was unfavorable because she stated I could provide the video evidence on [redacted] then ignored my request.

I want a new ruling due to this indifference towards me.