

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 23-106

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 22, 2023

The Complainant alleged improper legal rulings by a superior court judge hearing a family case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Louis Frank Dominguez, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 22, 2023.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2023-106

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Case # Case was filed and cleared to be open on

Case # Case was filed and cleared on but there is some issue with the second case. when the court clerk recieved the paper work there some erros in the papperwork that was not fully reviewed. the first one is the personal information name, address, job tile were correct, birthdate was incorrect.

Community property when comparing both petitions second case states on the community property that itis automatically rewarded not by a judge but by a third party company called

cases were ordered to be comined in the division Court.

responed to the Case # Case #

after reveiwng the response there are some denials that are descripnces to the case.)
when aksed if he was student he denied that was and that he was working fulltime. according to)
employer he was not fully woking until

when asked about community property he admits and denies that the joint vehicle was aslo in my name during the time of the case.

blank order/ motion- evidence with copies of the bill of sale for the vehicle and the unofficial transcripts with the current enrollement was submitted as evidence to the court and the Judge.

resolution confernce mangement was ordered by the judge for 10am originally also requested and order that resolution statemnt be submitted 10 days prior to confernnce

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name: Honorable Judge

Instruc or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words wa judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

petitioner resolution statement filed and cleared prior to the date

Resolution conference scheduled for 10am. Upon arrival security asked if my name was on the docket and stated yes security confirmed not on the docket for that day. After speaking with the clerk she mentioned that it was changed to another date due to the attorney for the respondent not being available. Requested to change form the to due to work availability. Judge denied the request the same day .

attorney for respondent submitted the resolution statement prior to the date and the judge should have removed it or not considered it because of the order that was stated on

resolution conference management from 1 :30pm -4pm. Upon arrival security confirmed that I was on the docket. When it had started, the attorney for the respondent spoke to the judge about the pre conference call with the consul and he stated that I declined to speak with him and I hung up the phone. Judge got very upset and yelled at me and threatened to have me pay the retainer and attorney fee. After that she did not question both respondent or counsel of the evidence that was submitted for him attending school and he should have been charged . In addition when it came to the I felt that I should have gotten something out of it because of the community property law in arizona that allows me 50% due to the vehicle being in my name and respondent did not allow me that right he only wanted the vehicle for himself. In addition the judge did not follow the community property law where if i am not allowed to use the vehicle that he should have been held in contempt of court. She only asked who was paying the vehicle and she sided with counsel and the respondent. During the whole conference I felt that there was a conflict of interest because the respondent worked for the in After the case was coming to a close the judge ruled everything for the respondent and zero for me.